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It is contentious to what extent the existing copyright system contributes to the positive development of the regulated sectors of society. The present report shows that substantially more musical works and movies have been released in recent years than before the diffusion of digital copying technology. At the same time, the average quality of these works has been stable according to user assessments. Countries with stronger copyright protection do not exhibit greater supply of new works. Accordingly, the relatively strict copyright protection in some countries does not seem to promote the supply of new creative works.

The present report also considers whether user-generated content (UGC) complements or substitutes professional content. An increasing supply of valuable UGC is not reflected in official economic statistics. In all probability, copyright protection promotes user-generated content less than professional content. As a result, substituting professional content with "amateur material" could reduce the socially desirable strength of copyright protection. However, we find that almost half of all works available on YouTube are professional content. Professional works are watched and recommended more frequently than the average. In addition, a large proportion of UGC on YouTube draws on professional works. There is little evidence that professional content would be widely replaced. It follows that UGC could also be supported by an efficient copyright system, which strengthens the supply of professional content without excessively limiting its further use as input for UGC.

In Germany the cultural and creative industries, which are strongly affected by copyright, account for almost four percent of the labor force work and for almost 2.4 percent of economic output. Copyright also affects markets for information and communications technology (ICT) and telecommunications. In the context of digitization, it is controversial whether the existing copyright system is efficient.

This report deals with two topics. First, promoting innovation is the primary objective of copyright from an economic perspective. There have been few systematic empirical studies on whether this goal is achieved in practice. Using up-to-date data from 13 countries, we determine whether there are differences in the supply of new creative works in countries with varying degrees of copyright protection. Second, a great amount of non-commercially motivated UGC is widely available today. Favorable copyright conditions for UGC may vary considerably from those for professional content. A crucial question is whether UGC depends on a strong supply of professional content that creative amateurs can build on. Examining 500 YouTube videos, we explore to what extent UGC is based on professional copyright works.

Copyright from an Economic Perspective

Product Innovation in the Copyright Industries

According to the economic literature, copyrights (including related performers' rights) are a means of increasing...
the supply of new creative works. For copyright-based industries, creating new works is fundamental. The major part of demand for music recordings and films is for novelties and thousands of new albums, films and other types of copyright works are released annually.

New creative works protected by copyright law meet the basic definition criteria for product innovations. On the one hand, they differ from other existing products in a way that is relevant for consumers. On the other hand, they have a positive value.4

Product innovation in copyright-based industries—the generation of new music recordings, movies, video games, novels, and so on—is referred to as “content generation”. The key indicators of this type of innovation are the number of new works supplied, their consumption and appreciation. Creative works tend to have the characteristics of public goods:5 On the one hand, copyright works lose little of their value for individual users when they are used by other users (non-rivalry). On the other hand, a large proportion of the value of creative works is appropriated by those who do not provide any direct rewards to the copyright holders, as for instance in the case of unauthorized copying (there is limited excludability). Consequently, the market value of creative works represents only a small part of the overall social value.

Economics of Copyright: A Balancing Act

Three aspects determine the actual copyright protection that transpires in practice: copyright law, private or public enforcement measures, and the development and dissemination of copying technology. Copyright protection can be measured by the extent of unauthorized reproduction and distribution without the explicit permission of the copyright holders. Actual copyright protection has declined with the diffusion of digital copying technology. In response, a number of copyright reforms and enforcement measures aimed at fostering copyright protection.

Since creative works are often effectively public goods, incentives to create new works may not suffice to approximate the socially desirable supply of creative content. Effective copyright protection can reduce this problem. It gives copyright holders temporary exclusive rights to works. Protected from competitors with virtually identical works, copyright holders can more easily recoup the development costs of creative works and generate profits.

Assuming a constant supply of creative works, there is a preponderance of disadvantages to copyright protection. It increases the share of welfare gains that falls to the copyright holders but at the expense of users. The conventional assumption in economics is that such shifting of welfare between stakeholders leaves combined social welfare remains unaffected. However, maintaining the copyright system entails costs for the public sector and there are also transaction costs from trading rights, which would not occur without copyright.

It is more likely that copyright promotes social welfare in the long term: greater rewards to rights holders leads to greater incentives to create valuable new works. In the long run, users might thus also benefit from a reasonable level of copyright protection.

It is important to note that effective copyright protection does not only increase rewards to creators and rights holders. Copyright also increases the costs of creating new works that build on preceding creations.6 Creators usually draw on components of works protected by other copyright holders. Follow-up creators either have to work around existing rights or need to identify rights holders and strike a licensing contract. By the same logic, strict copyright protection associated with high transaction costs may restrict the development of new methods of distributing and using copyright works.

It can therefore be misleading to see copyright as a simple balancing mechanism in which strong copyright protection always promotes the welfare of creators at the expense of users. The objective of a welfare-maximizing copyright policy should be to find a balance between (a) the expected future value of additional works created because of copyright protection, and (b) the access, administrative, and transaction costs arising from effective copyright protection. Copyright protection may be too low for the long-run interests of users if the supply of valuable works recedes due to unauthorized use. Copyright


4 There is demand for creative works for all uncertainty and concentration of demand on a minority of “hits.” It is not possible to always anticipate which works will ultimately become hits, see R. Caves, Creative Industries: Contracts Between Art and Commerce (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2000). Moreover, in 2010, German residents spent an average of 581 minutes per day viewing or listening to media content (83 minutes of which was spent on the Internet, excluding streaming or downloading of music), the majority of which are protected by copyright, see H. Reitze and C. M. Ridder, Massekommunikation, 8th ed., (Baden-Baden: Nomos, 2011).

5 This is especially true of films and sound recordings. Other creative works such as theatre performances, however, are usually excludable goods.

The discrepancy between theoretical predictions and empirical evidence is striking. Based on theory one would expect a negative impact of copyright infringements on rights holder revenues and on the supply of new creative works. Instead, the supply of new creative works has increased substantially over recent years, in spite of extensive unauthorized copying and falling revenues to the record industry from sales of authorized copies. To be sure, there have only been a handful of systematic studies on this subject to date. For a reliable assessment, further research is needed, covering longer periods, more countries and specific variations in copyright protection.

Characteristics of Copyright Industries and Product Innovation in Them

The literature on the economics of cultural and creative industries and copyright identifies a number of characteristics that deviate from conventional industries. Some of these may help explain how an increasing supply of material can be accompanied by greater unauthorized use and lower revenues for copyright holders.

3 Three published studies to date have examined the effect of unauthorized digital copying on the supply of creative works. In Germany, there has been a long-term growth trend in new music albums since the early 1990s. C. Handle, “Digital copying and the supply of sound recordings,” Information Economics and Policy 24 (1) (2012): 15-29. No significant change is apparent with the proliferation of digital copying technology and declining sales between 1999 and 2006. The variety of supply has continued and increasing listening time suggests there was no substantial decline in quality. Waldfogel examines the quality of new music recordings in the US based on their position in the charts (J. Waldfogel, “Bye, Bye Miss American Pie? The Supply of New Recorded Music Since Napster,” National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper, no. 16,882 (2011)) and found no significant trend deviation with the proliferation of digital copying technology. Nevertheless, Waldfogel also notes that the supply of new music albums in the US has increased significantly since 2000 despite file-sharing sites and declining revenues in the music industry (J. Waldfogel, “Copyright Research in the Digital Age: Moving from Piracy to the Supply of New Products,” The American Economic Review 102 (3) (2012): 337-342).
Digitization Simplifies Production and Distribution of New Content

Digitization probably lowers the development and deployment costs of creative works. This should increase the supply of works. Costs might also fall as a result of the development of more efficient ICT and shorter supply chains. A similar effect can also occur when the market power of leading companies declines due to increased competition. The question is whether the supply of creative works would have developed even more positively with stronger copyright protection. It is difficult to separate the effect of copyright protection from the broader impact of rapid technological change.

Intrinsic Motivation of Creative Individuals

There is also extensive evidence that creators are intrinsically motivated, so that supply is relatively inelastic to changes in rights holders’ pecuniary revenues. The wide range of UGC, in particular, suggests that a plentiful supply of at least simple creative works with no major financial development costs is produced with very low pecuniary incentives.

Unauthorized Copying as a means to Sample Content

Furthermore, unauthorized copies are not perfect substitutes for authorized copies. Unauthorized users often have a low willingness to pay for works so there may be little sales displacement from unauthorized copying. Unauthorized copies are sometimes used to sample works and the willingness to pay for authorized copies or other goods provided by the copyright owner may even increase after sampling. Also, simplified and more diverse product searches may increase the contestability of the market for creative works by reducing the advantages of established suppliers.

Copyright and Innovations in the Film Industry

The following section analyzes the development of product innovation in the film industry in the context of copyright. The film industry is one of Germany’s most economically important copyright-based industries. In 2012, it had a turnover of approximately 2.7 billion euros, which was three times larger than the music industry, for example.

Figure 2 plots sales, the number of new releases, and average user ratings of new publications in the German market for films since 2001. The film industry in Germany developed relatively well during the observation period, although sales dipped from 2005 to 2008. Since then, sales have remained stable and, in recent years, have been more than 20 percent higher than in 2001. The number of new film releases per year has also increased by about 50 percent since 2001. However, growth is less pronounced and less consistent than for music recordings.

Figure 3 plots average sales, releases and ratings for a set of European countries. Overall, sales between 2001 and 2012 fell by more than 20 percent. According to the IMDb database, the number of new film releases has risen relatively steadily since 2001. At the same time, there was no noticeable change in perceived product quality.

---

11 In contestable markets the market power of incumbents is restricted if excessive profits will attract competitors to enter the market.
al user rating of these releases in a year. The independent variables are four different indicators for digitization and unauthorized copying, as well as additional control variables (see Box 1). The analysis for the period 2005 to 2011 shows a positive relationship between sales per capita from film releases, broadband penetration, and the private copying levy (specification 1). The proliferation of fast Internet connections appears to have had a positive impact on film industry revenues, in contrast to the situation in the music industry. The BSA Software Piracy Index shows a negative, albeit insignificant, relationship. There is also a negative association with inclusion in the ‘black-list’ of the US State Department due to insufficient copyright protection (301 Report), which is significant at the ten-percent level.

Turnover in the film industry can be divided into video sales and box office sales. This distinction is important because cinematographic presentations are more excludable than video sales or rentals, and are therefore more difficult to replace with unauthorized copies. Box office revenues should thus be less affected by copyright protection than video sales. In specifications (2) and (3), copyright indicators are only regressed on video and box office sales per inhabitant. The significance of the three copyright indicators arises only in connection with video sales (specification 2). There is no significant association with box office sales.

The number of new releases shows no significant association with copyright indicators (specification 4). The number of new releases shows no significant association with copyright indicators.

### Table 1

**Impact of copyright protection on the development of the film industry: regression results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>revenues per capita</th>
<th>number of new releases</th>
<th>average user ratings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>video and box office</td>
<td>only video</td>
<td>only box office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>box office Specification</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>broadband penetration</td>
<td>0.199*</td>
<td>0.238**</td>
<td>-0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>private copying levy</td>
<td>2.303**</td>
<td>2.468**</td>
<td>-0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Piracy Index</td>
<td>-0.205</td>
<td>-0.277</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301 Special Report</td>
<td>-2.855***</td>
<td>-2.517**</td>
<td>-0.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP per capita</td>
<td>Ja</td>
<td>Ja</td>
<td>Ja</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries</td>
<td>Ja</td>
<td>Ja</td>
<td>Ja</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years</td>
<td>Ja</td>
<td>Ja</td>
<td>Ja</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>0.518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj. R²</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Belgium, Croatia, Denmark, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Sweden, and Spain; 2005 to 2011.  
2 Fixed effects regression, clustered standard errors at the country level, monetary values in real terms, levels of significance: * p < 0.1; ** p < 0.05; *** p < 0.01.  
3 in logs.

Sources: IVF Yearbook 2003 to 2013 – Europe: the Industry Overview (revenues); IMDb (new releases and user ratings); calculations by DIW Econ.

Copyright protection has an impact on video sales but not on the number of new releases.
quality of the new releases seems not to be affected by country-specific copyright protection characteristics either (specification 5), the only exception being a potential negative association with inclusion in the 301 Report, which is just about significant.

Overall, there is little evidence that differences in copyright protection would have had an effect on product innovation in the film industry.

User-Generated Content (UGC)

A noteworthy development in the creative industries is the greater participation of end users in production processes. Content creation is often intrinsically motivated. The boundary between amateur and a professional production is fuzzy and many creators change status over time. What is new about UGC is that amateur productions are now also easily accessible to all Internet users.

By definition, creators of UGC derive little direct income from supplying works (see Box 2). Accordingly, strong copyright protection can restrict the development and proliferation of user-generated content. On the one hand, amateurs are intrinsically motivated or unable to enforce and commercially exploit their rights. On the other hand, strict copyright protection increases the risk that amateurs run into legal difficulties if they publish works based on professional works. The growing importance of UGC to added value in copyright-based industries is therefore very likely to affect the optimal level of copyright protection.

Unauthorized Use

The Global Software Piracy Index compiled by the Business Software Alliance (BSA) is a measure of the unauthorized use of reproducible creative works in the software sector and is available for several years and countries. Certainly, the BSA Index is not a direct measure of the unauthorized use of other copyrighted works such as music recordings or films. However, this index should depend on the same factors—for example, on the strength of copyright protection, the proliferation of digital ICTs, demographic developments, or cultural and social factors—as copyright protection for other creative works. We thus expect a strong correlation with the unauthorized use of other, copyrighted works, and use the BSA index as a convenient indicator of copyright protection.

Other Indicators

The annual 301 Report by the US State Department is a more comprehensive indicator. This report lists countries that, in the view of the US government, blatantly violate trade agreements. The protection of intellectual property is an important part of these reports and includes lists of countries that, in the US government’s opinion, have inadequate copyright protection.
professional content, we took a sample of the content on YouTube—an important platform for UGC—and categorized the content into professional content, UGC, and mixed forms (see Box 2). For each of these videos, we recorded the upload year, the number of views, and the number of likes/dislikes recorded by users. The results are shown in Table 2.

Professional content is the largest group with a share of 46 percent. UGC has a share of 33 percent. On average, professional content was accessed over four times more than UGC. In contrast, the average number of “likes” for professional content and for UGC is similarly high, so the ratio of “likes” to views is greater for UGC. This is perhaps not only due to the quality of the videos but also due to greater motivation to leave positive feedback for amateurs than for professionals.

Overall, professional content makes up a considerable share of YouTube material. It would be an exaggeration to claim that professional content is largely being replaced. In addition, a substantial minority of UGC in the broader sense, including the mixed forms, builds directly on the repertoire of commercial works. In the long run, this part of UGC probably depends on an ample supply of new, valuable professional works. UGC is not yet associated with a reduction in supply of professional material. The growing dissemination and consumption of UGC demonstrates that these types of works are of considerable value, adding to professional content.

Conclusions

Considering the supply of new creative works, it is clear that the development of music and film in recent years has been more positive than the heated debates on the dangers of digital copying would have us believe. Based on the available data, digital copying does appear to have had a negative effect on innovation in terms of content creation. However, a high degree of uncertainty remains. First, data restrictions do not allow us to draw very firm conclusions. Second, it is difficult to distinguish the impact of copyright from the impact of broader technological change with digitization.

The extensive use and appreciation of UGC shows that this content is a valuable addition to the professional supply of copyright works. The copyright system should re-

---

**Box 2**

**User-Generated Content (UGC)**

User-Generated Content (UGC) has been defined as follows: “Content made publicly available over the Internet, which reflects a certain amount of creative effort, and which is created outside of professional routines and practices,” (OECD 2007); or “not the principal source of earned income to the creator.”

This gives rise to four criteria:

- Distribution over the Internet.
- Original creativity and not a mere reproduction of existing content.
- Content generation occurs with no direct involvement by established professional companies in the traditional copyright-based industries, such as publishers, record companies, film production companies, etc.
- The producers of UGC do not expect to earn a living with income from the sale of content.

This definition remains vague. For its empirical implementation in our research on video on the popular Internet platform YouTube, we developed an ordinal categorization to better determine the popularity of UGC relative to professional content.

We distinguish UGC from professional content based on whether works (images and/or sound) are marketed outside the Internet platform at a positive price. This is not the case in a solely amateur video, for instance, in a film recorded by a private individual of a cat playing where the video is not for sale by the copyright holder. Professional content, by contrast, is made available for sale by the copyright holder at a certain price, albeit possibly in a different media format; it is, in other words, commercial content. There are a mixed cases, where images and sounds from UGC and professional content are combined in a single video. A typical example is a self-recorded film to which an amateur creator has added a professionally marketed soundtrack.

In the case of professional content, the video and audio tracks are virtually identical to an existing commercial work. Here, an important distinction is whether or not the video was uploaded to YouTube with the acknowledgement of the copyright holder (or on behalf of the copyright holder).
Copyright policy strikes a complex balance between conflicting interests. Social acceptance of the existing copyright system is low. Many copyright holders argue for more effective copyright protection. Widespread unauthorized copying documents the ignorance of users or their conscious resistance to copyright standards. The findings presented here show that commonly voiced assumptions have no solid basis. There is no solid evidence that the diffusion of digital copying technology fundamentally would have damaged the supply of new works. Neither does it appear that UGC will replace professional content, which would call into question the conventional economic justification for copyright.

Further empirical work is needed to strengthen the evidence-base for copyright policy. The further development of online databases will facilitate research in the foreseeable future. The next important steps include studying specific aspects of the copyright system, such as: (1) the duration of copyright protection; (2) regulations regarding private copying and notices according to civil law; (3) the reasonable level of public investment in law enforcement; (4) the regulation of collecting societies such as the German Society for Musical Performing and Mechanical Reproduction Rights (GEMA); (5) determining reasonable compensation for different forms of distribution; and (6) competition policy with the emergence of highly concentrated online platforms through which creative works are disseminated.

The good news is that despite all apparent difficulties, the supply of creative works has developed positively in the copyright industries considered in this report. Copyright policy should support this positive development as much as possible.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professional and User-generated Content on YouTube (Sample)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Videos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User-generated content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mixed form (UGC and professional content)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shares in percent | Likes per 1,000 views
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>User Generated Content</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mixed form (UGC and professional content)</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


User-generated content does not replace professional content, but instead builds on professional works.
1. Dr. Mattes, copyright protects the products of the creative industries. To what extent does it hinder and to what extent does it promote competition? The copyright holder of a creative work has the monopoly for a certain period of time and the exclusive legal rights to this work. In the short term, this hampers competition but, in the long term, it stimulates investment in new creative works. Without copyright, all users would be in a stronger position in the short term but there would be less of an incentive for artists to create new works as they would not generate any more income.

2. Digital copying technology enables users to easily circumvent copyright which is something the music industry in particular suffers from. How high are the losses? Increasing digitization has resulted in a sharp drop in music industry sales. In many countries, turnover in this sector has seen a 50 percent decline since the turn of the century.

3. What is the situation in the other creative industries? Not all creative works are that easy to copy. This applies to theater performances and film screenings, for example. We can assume that digitization has had a similar effect in other industries, although Germany’s film industry, for instance, has certainly not followed such a negative trend as the music industry. Thanks to modern technology, there are now more options for watching movies. As a result, demand may also have increased, making it difficult to differentiate between the impact of technological change and the effects of copyright protection.

4. What impact has the decline in turnover had on the generation of new works? According to economic theory, weaker copyright protection results in a decline in
turnover and, at the same time, a drop in supply because creative individuals no longer have an incentive to invest in new works. In the past, digitization led to a sharp decrease in the music industry’s turnover but apparently not to a decline in supply. For the past ten years, we have seen constant growth in the generation of new creative works both in the music and in the film industries. In other words, there is no obvious correlation between turnover and supply.

5. The Internet enables users to publish their own creative works for non-commercial purposes. However, these works are partially based on protected content (background music, for instance). How can copyright law respond to these new circumstances? This user-generated content gives the industry a new dimension that simply did not exist previously. From our sample of 500 YouTube videos, we observed that user-generated content is often based on professional works. Copyright should protect professional works so that generators still have an incentive to produce the works. However, it should not be too restrictive since both amateurs and professional producers base their creative works on other professional works. If copyright protection is too stringent, this could cause a drop in the supply of creative works. We have to find a balance here in order to reconcile these two aspects.

6. Is copyright protection outdated? Our analyses have shown that there is a discrepancy between the effects of copyright according to economic theory and the impact that is actually observed. My co-author, Christian Handke from Erasmus University Rotterdam is one of the few people to have researched extensively in this field but we need substantially more empirical research to understand why the actual effects are not as theory predicted. Until such empirical research has been conducted, there can be no clear answer to this question.

Interview by Erich Wittenberg