



The role of energy storage in power sectors with fossil fuel phase-out

Power sector effects of electric vehicle batteries

Carlos Gaete and Wolf-Peter Schill
Berlin, 9 October 2020

Future of
Fossil Fuels

- Motivation
 - Battery-electric vehicles (BEV): a major strategy to decarbonize transportation, using renewable electricity
 - Two relevant effects of growing BEV fleets on power sector:
 - Growing electricity demand → vRES capacity also needs to increase
 - Potential provision of temporal flexibility → BEV may contribute to vRES integration
 - Trade-off between these two effects
 - Numerical analyses require detailed time series of BEV charging availability and energy demand
 - These are now available thanks to emobpy

- Research question
 - Quantitatively explore the trade off between increasing vRES demand and the provision of temporal flexibility
 - Sensitivity of results to varying assumptions on vehicle charging and V2G
 - Indicators of interest:
 - System costs
 - Capacity effects
 - Dispatch effects
- Special interest of today's workshop
 - Effects of BEV on stationary storage

emobpy

- Open-source code tool in Python for e-mobility time-series
- Python Package Index <https://pypi.org/project/emobpy/>
- Preprint in arXiv <https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.02765>
- For this project:
 - We created 40 BEV profiles
 - Each profile consists of 3 types of time-series
 - Motor electricity consumption
 - Grid availability
 - Grid electricity demand

4

emobpy: time-series types

(1) + (2)


+

DIETER




(3)

Grid demand




**System
Optimized
Approach**




- DIETERpy
 - Is a python framework that runs DIETER by using GAMS API
 - Open-source code tool
 - Python package index <https://pypi.org/project/dieterpy/>
 - Preprint available on <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.00883>
 - In this project:
 - Run several scenarios by setting the following configuration:
 - Brownfield - 2030
 - Investment and dispatch model
 - + Endogenous BEV module
 - + Exogenous BEV module (Balanced time series)
 - 0 , 1M, 3M, 5M, 7M, 10M, 20M, 40M BEVs
 - G2V and V2G
 - 68% and 100% Minimum RES constraint

Results

- Total system costs
- Residual load duration curve
- Storage capacity differences
- Hourly charging pattern of BEV





Still
below
Zero
BEV
scenario
costs





RLDC

- 0 BEV – 68% minimum RES – System optimized
- 40M BEV – 68% minimum RES – **V2G** - System optimized
- 40M BEV – 100% minimum RES – **V2G** – System optimized
- 40M BEV – 100% minimum RES – **G2V** - System optimized
- 40M BEV – 100% minimum RES – **G2V** – Exogenous: Balanced






— RLDC
 ■ CU
 ■ STO_IN-Li-ion
 ■ STO_IN-P2G2P
 ■ STO_IN-PHS
 ■ STO_OUT-Li-ion
 ■ STO_OUT-P2G2P
 ■ STO_OUT-PHS
■ G_L-bio
 ■ G_L-lig
 ■ G_L-hc
 ■ G_L-other
 ■ G_L-CCGT
 ■ G_L-OCGT
 ■ EV_CHARGE
 ■ EV_DISCHARGE




— RLDC
 ■ CU
 ■ STO_IN-Li-ion
 ■ STO_IN-P2G2P
 ■ STO_IN-PHS
 ■ STO_OUT-Li-ion
 ■ STO_OUT-P2G2P
 ■ STO_OUT-PHS


■ G_L-bio
 ■ G_L-lig
 ■ G_L-hc
 ■ G_L-other
 ■ G_L-CCGT
 ■ G_L-OCGT
 ■ EV_CHARGE
 ■ EV_DISCHARGE





— RLDC
 ■ CU
 ■ STO_IN-Li-ion
 ■ STO_IN-P2G2P
 ■ STO_IN-PHS
 ■ STO_OUT-Li-ion
 ■ STO_OUT-P2G2P
 ■ STO_OUT-PHS
■ G_L-bio
 ■ G_L-lig
 ■ G_L-hc
 ■ G_L-other
 ■ G_L-CCGT
 ■ G_L-OCGT
 ■ EV_CHARGE
 ■ EV_DISCHARGE





— RLDC
 ■ CU
 ■ STO_IN-Li-ion
 ■ STO_IN-P2G2P
 ■ STO_IN-PHS
 ■ STO_OUT-Li-ion
 ■ STO_OUT-P2G2P
 ■ STO_OUT-PHS
 ■ G_L-bio
 ■ G_L-lig
 ■ G_L-hc
 ■ G_L-other
 ■ G_L-CCGT
 ■ G_L-OCGT
 ■ EV_CHARGE
 ■ EV_DISCHARGE




Absolute values



Relative values



Immediate-Balanced Charging: 40M-G2V System Optimized Charging: 40M-G2V-68%RES System Optimized Charging: 40M-V2G-68%RES



- 100% RES scenarios costs are greater than 68% scenarios (+ 36%)
- V2G shows significant benefits by reducing the system costs, this effect increases when renewables are higher, while the maximum benefit is reached in the range of 7 – 10 M BEV → flexibility effect dominates
- V2G entails BEV unit gains ranging 100 – 500 Euro per BEV-year; benefits could be higher for vehicle profiles with higher flexibility availability
- System optimized approach for charging (discharging) of BEV is largely desirable, either G2V or V2G
- V2G provides short-term storage, partially long-term storage and makes an efficient use of vRES
- For larger BEV fleets (+40M), the demand effect dominates
- BEV charging is coupled with solar generation, large load poses several challenges

Vielen Dank für Ihre Aufmerksamkeit.



**DIW Berlin — Deutsches Institut
für Wirtschaftsforschung e.V.**
Mohrenstraße 58, 10117 Berlin
www.diw.de

Redaktion
Carlos Gaete, cgaete@diw.de
