

SOEP Survey Papers

Series D – Variable Descriptions and Coding

SOEP-IS 2015.1–PGEN: Person-related Status and Generated Variables

Running since 1984, the German Socio-Economic Panel study (SOEP) is a wide-ranging representative longitudinal study of private households, located at the German Institute for Economic Research, DIW Berlin.

The aim of the SOEP Survey Papers Series is to thoroughly document the survey's data collection and data processing. The SOEP Survey Papers is comprised of the following series:

Series A – Survey Instruments (Erhebungsinstrumente)

Series B – Survey Reports (Methodenberichte)

Series C – Data Documentation (Datendokumentationen)

Series D – Variable Descriptions and Coding

Series E – SOEPmonitors

Series F – SOEP Newsletters

Series G – General Issues and Teaching Materials

The SOEP Survey Papers are available at <http://www.diw.de/soepsurveypapers>

Editors:

Dr. Jan Goebel, DIW Berlin

Prof. Dr. Martin Kroh, DIW Berlin and Humboldt Universität Berlin

Prof. Dr. Carsten Schröder, DIW Berlin and Freie Universität Berlin

Prof. Dr. Jürgen Schupp, DIW Berlin and Freie Universität Berlin

Please cite this paper as follows:

SOEP-IS Group, 2017. SOEP-IS 2015.1–PGEN: Person-related Status and Generated Variables. SOEP Survey Papers 462: Series D – Variable Descriptions and Coding. Berlin: DIW Berlin/SOEP



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

© 2017 by SOEP

ISSN: 2193-5580 (online)

DIW Berlin

German Socio-Economic Panel (SOEP)

Mohrenstr. 58

10117 Berlin

Germany

soepapers@diw.de

SOEP-IS 2015.1—PGEN: Person-related Status and Generated Variables

SOEP-IS Group

2017

Contents

1 Overview	4
2 Variables in file pgen	4
cid – Case-ID, Original Household Number	4
hid – Current Wave HH Number	4
pid – Never Changing Person ID	4
syear – Survey Year	4
pgerwtyp – Type of occupation	4
pgerljob – Working in Occupation Trained for	5
pgbetr – Size of the Company	5
pgoeffd – Civil Service	6
pgausb – Required Training for Job	6
pgpartz – Partner Identifier	7
pgpartnr – Person ID number of partner	8
pgnation – Citizenship - nationality	8
pgsbil – Diplomas/degrees from secondary/tertiary	9
pgbbil01 – Vocational degree attained	10
pgbbil02 – Completed college education	10
pgbbil03 – No vocational degree	11
pgsbila – Secondary school degrees/diplomas abroad	11
pgbbila – Occupational Training in abroad	12
pgsbilo – Secondary school degree/diploma - East Germany	12
pgbbilo – Vocational degree attained - East Germany	13
pgfamstd – Marital status in survey year	13
pgbiltz – Amount of education or training (in years)	14
pgerwzt – Length Of Time With Firm	15
pgtatz – Actual Weekly Work Time	16
pgvebzt – Agreed Upon Weekly Work Time	17
pguebstd – Overtime per Week	18
pglfs – Labor Force Status	19
pgis88 – 4-digit ISCO-88 Occupation Code	21
pgisei – International Socio-Economic Index of Occupational Status	23
pgmps – Magnitude Prestige Scale KLAS	23
pgnace – Two-digit NACE Industry – Sector	24
pgsiops – Treimans Standard Int. Occupation Prestige Score	25
pgegp – Erikson and Goldthorpe Class Category	26
pgklas – StaBuA 1992 Job Classification	27
pgautono – Autonomy in occupational activity	30
pgiscd – Highest degree/diploma attained, ISCED-1997	30
pgcasmin – Highest degree/diploma according to CASMIN	31
pgstib – Occupational Position	32
pgmonth – Month of interview	33
pgmode – Interview method	34
pglabgro – Current gross labor income in euros (generated)	34
pgi1labgro – 1. Imput. Akt. Bruttoerwerbseink.(gen) in Euro [1/5]	36
pgi2labgro – 2. Imput. Akt. Bruttoerwerbseink.(gen) in Euro [2/5]	36
pgi3labgro – 3. Imput. Akt. Bruttoerwerbseink.(gen) in Euro [3/5]	37
pgi4labgro – 4. Imput. Akt. Bruttoerwerbseink.(gen) in Euro [4/5]	38
pgi5labgro – 5. Imput. Akt. Bruttoerwerbseink.(gen) in Euro [5/5]	39

pgimpgro – Imputation flag for LABGROxx	40
pglabnet – Current net labor income (generated) in euros	40
pgi1labnet – 1. Imput. Akt. Nettoerwerbseink.(gen) in Euro [1/5]	41
pgi2labnet – 2. Imput. Akt. Nettoerwerbseink.(gen) in Euro [2/5]	42
pgi3labnet – 3. Imput. Akt. Nettoerwerbseink.(gen) in Euro [3/5]	43
pgi4labnet – 4. Imput. Akt. Nettoerwerbseink.(gen) in Euro [4/5]	44
pgi5labnet – 5. Imput. Akt. Nettoerwerbseink.(gen) in Euro [5/5]	45
pgimpnet – Imputation flag for LABNETxx	46
pgallbet – Core size category of the company	46
pgemplst – Employment status	46
pgexpft – Working experience full-time employment	47
pgexppt – Working experience part-time employment	48
pgexpue – Unemployment experience	49
pgjobch – Occupational Change	50
pgfield – Field of tertiary education	51
pgdegree – Type of tertiary degree	53
pgtraina – Apprenticeship - two-digit occupation KldB92	54
pgtrainb – Vocational school – two-digit occupation KldB92	55
pgtrainc – Higher vocational school - two-digit occupation KldB92	56
pgtraind – Civil servant training – two-digit occupation KldB92	57
pgfdt_f – Data source FIELD, DEGREE, TRAIN	58
pgbilztch – Change in Education since last survey / last year	58
pgbilztev – Change in Education, total observed period	59
pgsndjob – Current gross secondary income in euros	59
pgimpsnd – Imputation flag for SNDJOBxx	60

1 Overview

Variables in the file pgen documented here are generated mostly from the answers in the personal questionnaire. There is one row for each wave (syear) a person (pid) participated in the survey.

2 Variables in file pgen

cid – Case-ID, Original Household Number

hid – Current Wave HH Number

pid – Never Changing Person ID

syear – Survey Year

1998	1998	724
1999	1999	750
2000	2000	755
2001	2001	766
2002	2002	780
2003	2003	795
2004	2004	792
2005	2005	799
2006	2006	797
2007	2007	797
2008	2008	794
2009	2009	3226
2010	2010	2745
2011	2011	2506
2012	2012	3696
2013	2013	5141
2014	2014	6638
2015	2015	5897
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

pgerwtyp – Type of occupation

? Are you currently employed? Which one of the following applies best to your status? (from: [soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q271;perw\[3133\]](#))

1	[1] Not Employed, Green	16918
2	[2] Not Employed (First Surveyed) Not Applicable Since 94	0
3	[3] Employed (First Surveyed) Not Applicable Since 94	0
4	[4] Empl. Exc Change	14293
5	[5] Empl. No Info If Change	3382
6	[6] Empl. With Change, Also First Time Employment	3650

7	[7] Empl. With Near-Retirement Part-time	155
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable is generated from the question on whether a respondent has changed jobs since the beginning of the previous year, which is a central filter variable in the questionnaire.

Not all employed persons are asked the relevant input questions on an annual basis. Only those employed persons who changed jobs and first-time respondents were asked to provide up-to-date information.

An alternative variable is PGJOBCH (see below), which is an improved version of PGERW-TYP, as it is generated in a longitudinally consistent way and contains an additional category for first-time employed persons.

Respondents from the supplementary samples are not being asked about the information on job change; hence, in the year when these samples enter the SOEP-IS, the majority of the employed persons fall into the category [5] (Employed, no info if change).

pgerljob – Working in Occupation Trained for

1	[1] Yes	4660
2	[2] no	2593
3	[3] In Education	412
4	[4] has No Job Training	397
-1	[-1] No Answer	354
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	6909
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	23073
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable is designed to offer annual data on all employed persons, indicating whether they are working in the occupation they were trained for.

Not all employed persons are asked the relevant input questions on an annual basis. Only those employed persons who changed jobs and first-time respondents were asked to provide up-to-date information.

Because detailed information on working in occupation trained for is not assessed in the Questionnaire of the SOEP Innovation Sample, PGERLJOB is not generated for Sample E (since 2012), I (since 2011) and the supplementary samples (since 2012). For this purpose, PGERLJOB is coded to “-5” (not contained in questionnaire).

pgbetr – Size of the Company

? How many employees does your enterprise have approximately in total? This question is not just about your local commercial unit. (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q305;pgesunt[3162]*)

1	[1] LT 5	1338
2	[2] GE 5 LT 10	1287

3	[3] GE 11 LT 20	1237
4	[4] Until 90: LT 20	0
5	[5] 91-04: GE 5 LT 20	553
6	[6] GE 20 and LT 100	3552
7	[7] From 100 To Les Than 200	1602
8	[8] Until 98: GE 20 LT 200	229
9	[9] 200 Up To 2000	3753
10	[10] 2000 And More	4506
11	[11] Self-Employed Without Coworkers	0
12	[12] Do not know	0
-1	[-1] No Answer	1129
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	19206
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	6
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable is designed to offer annual data on company size for all employed persons. Please pay attention to special codes 4, 5, and 8! These codes were necessary due to the differentiation of items for small and medium-sized companies over the years.

Not all employed persons are asked the question on firm size on an annual basis. Only those employed persons who changed jobs and first-time respondents were asked to provide up-to-date information.

Please also see PGALLBET for a broader categorization of the firm size, which is appropriate for analyses that include all sample years.

Self-employed are not included in this variable. Detailed information about the company size of self-employed is included in the variable PGSTIB.

pgoeffd – Civil Service

? Does the company in which you are employed belong to the public sector? (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q293;poed[3152]*)

1	[1] Yes	5005
2	[2] no	15925
-1	[-1] No Answer	532
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	16936
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable is designed to provide annual data on employment in the civil service for all employed persons.

Not all employed persons are asked the relevant input questions on an annual basis. Only those employed persons who changed jobs and first-time respondents were asked to provide up-to-date information.

pgausb – Required Training for Job

1	[1] Yes	63
---	---------	----

2	[2] Intro. To Job	1224
3	[3] On-The-Job Training	482
4	[4] Courses	232
5	[5] Vocational Training	4187
6	[6] Technical School, Engineering (East) 90-96	0
7	[7] Technical College, University until 1998	82
8	[8] Technical College since 1999	767
9	[9] University since 1999	1009
-1	[-1] No Answer	370
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	6909
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	23073
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable is designed to provide annual data on required job training for all employed persons. The variable is generated using questions on required formal education and required on-the-job-training which are categorized into up to seven independent variables with 0/1 coding. Out of these, the highest available level of required training is used for the generation of the status variable.

Not all employed persons are asked the relevant input questions on an annual basis. Only those employed persons who changed jobs and first-time respondents were asked to provide up-to-date information.

The code (-2) is assigned to all non-employed persons and also includes persons in occupational training, in occupational retraining programs, and those doing an internship at the time of the survey.

Because detailed information on required training for job is not assessed in the Questionnaire of the SOEP Innovation Sample, PGAUSB is not generated for Sample E (since 2012), I (since 2011) and the supplementary samples (since 2012). For this purpose, PGAUSB is coded to “-5” (not contained in questionnaire).

pgpartz – Partner Identifier

0	[0] No Partner in Household	12467
1	[1] Spouse	22232
2	[2] Partner	3590
3	[3] Probably Spouse	30
4	[4] Probably Partner	57
-1	[-1] No Answer	3
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	19
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

Partner identifier have the purpose of clearly defining spouse (married) and partner (unmarried) relationships in SOEP-IS households and thus enabling analyses on the couple level. The variable PGPARTZ generated in this context reveals whether a person in a SOEP-IS household has a partner in that household, and if so, the type of relationship existing between the partners. Relationships with persons outside the SOEP household are not cov-

ered by this variable.

To explain the codes:

Code 0 is automatically assigned to all persons living in households in which there is clearly no partnership. These include:

- (a) one-person households
- (b) single-parent households
- (c) household head living together with only one parent (or parent-in-law)

Codes 1 to 4 define these relationships. To assign Codes 1 and 2, the partnership has to be clearly definable from the perspective of both partners. This implies agreement between the codes of the variable STELL (= relationship to head of household in PBRUTTO) pointing to a possible partnership (e.g., the combination 0 (=head of household) and 1 (=spouse of household head)), as well as agreement between the codes for family status in that wave (e.g., married couples both have the Code 1 (=married, living together)). In case of ambiguity, the marital history is taken into account as well. If there are inconsistencies between the answers provided by the two persons, or between data on marital status and relationship to head of household, each person is examined individually within his or her household context. If uncertainty remains, the codes 3 or 4 are assigned.

pgpartnr – Person ID number of partner

? Please state the first name of your partner. (from: [soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q161;ppnama\[2147\]](#))

-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	12490
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

Partner indicators have the purpose of clearly defining spouse (married) and partner (unmarried) relationships in SOEP households and thus to make possible analyses on the couple level.

If PGPARTZ is coded 0 or 9, this person has no partner or the partner cannot be identified as such. The variable PGPARTNR is assigned the missing code of “-2” (=does not apply) for these persons.

If PGPARTZ is coded 1, 2, 3 or 4, a partnership was defined and PGPARTNR is then assigned the value of the unchanging person ID number (=PERSNR) of the partner.

For analyses of partner relationships, this information can be used to clearly link all persons with their respective partners, and all information on both partners can also be stored in a common dataset.

pgnation – Citizenship - nationality

? Do you have German citizenship? (from: [soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q156;lsta1a\[3024\]](#))

? What is your country of citizenship? (from: [soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q157;lpnat\[1745\]](#))

1	[1] German	36447
2	[2] Turkey	447

3	[3] Ex-Yugoslavia	5
4	[4] Greece	117
5	[5] Italian	202
6	[6] Spain	43
7	[7] Ex-GDR	0
10	[10] Austria	100
11	[11] France	42
12	[12] Belgian, Dutch, Luxembourg	0
13	[13] Denmark	0
14	[14] Great Britain	43
15	[15] Sweden	6
16	[16] Norway	1
17	[17] Finland	6
...	(148 rows omitted)	932
169	[169] Cambodia	0
170	[170] Surinam	0
171	[171] Guyana	0
172	[172] Caucasus	0
173	[173] Zimbabwe	0
174	[174] Madagascar	0
175	[175] Grenada	0
176	[176] Lesotho	0
177	[177] Bhutan	3
-1	[-1] No Answer	4
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable is designed to integrate the information on respondents' nationality for all subsamples.

pgsbil - Diplomas/degrees from secondary/tertiary

? In which country did you receive your school leaving certificate? *(from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q251;lsab3[1853])*

? What kind of general education / secondary school is it about? *(from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q262;paus2[3125])*

1	[1] Sec. Gen. School Leaving Certificate	12375
2	[2] Intermediate School Degree	11226
3	[3] Leaving Certificate From Voc High School	2238
4	[4] College Entrance Exam	7753
5	[5] Other	3030
6	[6] Dropout, No School Certificate	669
7	[7] Currently In School	527
-1	[-1] No Answer	580
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0

-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

All respondents in all SOEP subsamples are asked about diplomas/degrees attained for completion of secondary/tertiary education the first time they participate in SOEP.

As the SOEP Innovation Sample does not include a youth questionnaire, since 2012 information usually coming from the youth questionnaire was not included in the generation of PGSBIL.

pgbbil01 – Vocational degree attained

? What type of vocational training or university degree is this about? (from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q258;[3122])

1	[1] Apprenticeship	17515
2	[2] Vocational School	3344
3	[3] Health Care School	179
4	[4] Technical School	2288
5	[5] Civil Servant Training	1015
6	[6] Other Degree	611
7	[7] Completed Vocational Training/Education in Germany	73
-1	[-1] No Answer	312
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	13061
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

All respondents in all subsamples are asked about vocational degrees attained the first time they participate in SOEP. The categories that originally each constituted individual variables are combined to make them compatible with the annual question about changes in vocational degrees attained, and this data is updated annually.

Since the SOEP Innovation Sample does not include a youth questionnaire, the information usually coming from the youth questionnaire was not included in the generation of PGB-BIL01.

pgbbil02 – Completed college education

? What type of vocational training or university degree is this about? (from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q258;[3122])

1	[1] Fachhochschule	2152
2	[2] University, Technical College	3873
3	[3] College Not In Germany	108
4	[4] Engineering, Technical School (East)	506
5	[5] University (East)	424
6	[6] graduation, state doctorate	414
-1	[-1] No Answer	312
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	30609
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0

-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

All respondents in all subsamples are asked about completed college education the first time they participate in SOEP. To generate the variable, the different degrees/diplomas for all subsamples are integrated. Category (3) “college abroad” is only defined for persons who completed a foreign-language version of the questionnaire. Generation of the variable entails combining the categories to make them compatible with the annual question about changes in vocational degrees/diplomas attained.

Since the SOEP Innovation Sample does not include a youth questionnaire, the information usually coming from the youth questionnaire was not included in the generation of PGB-BIL02.

pgbbil03 – No vocational degree

? Did you complete vocational training or a university degree? (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q257;lab01[1859]*)

1	[1] No Vocation Degree	5946
2	[2] Apprenticeship	1384
3	[3] Studies	1447
-1	[-1] No Answer	312
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	29309
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

In connection with the question about vocational degrees (PGBBIL01 and PGBBIL02), all first-time respondents to all subsamples are explicitly asked whether they (still) do not possess a vocational degree. In the subsequent years, this data is carried forward or updated. The variable has the Missing Value Code -2 (does not apply) if one of the other two variables on vocational degree has a positive value.

Since the SOEP Innovation Sample does not include a youth questionnaire, the information usually coming from the youth questionnaire was not included in the generation of PGB-BIL03.

pgsbila – Secondary school degrees/diplomas abroad

? In which country did you receive your school leaving certificate? (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q251;lsab3[1853]*)

? What kind of school leaving certificate did you receive? (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q255;lsab5[1857]*)

1	[1] Mandatory schooling not completed	213
2	[2] Mandatory schooling completed	1159
3	[3] Higher-level secondary school	1641
4	[4] Secondary school completed abroad	0
-1	[-1] No Answer	31
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	35354
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0

-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

As a supplement to the PGSBIL, this variable provides annually updated data on the highest secondary school degree/diploma attained abroad.

Since the SOEP Innovation Sample does not include a youth questionnaire, the information usually coming from the youth questionnaire was not included in the generation of PGS-BILA.

pgbbila – Occupational Training in abroad

1	[1] On-The-Job Training	61
2	[2] Vocational Training	126
3	[3] Vocational School	100
4	[4] College	139
5	[5] Other Training	60
6	[6] Vocational Degree[bbil01] Acquired Abroad	1
7	[7] College Degree Completed Abroad	0
8	[8] Completed Vocational Training/Education Other Country	0
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	14114
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	23797
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

As a supplement to the variable PGBBIL01, this variable gives (and updates) the highest-level vocational degree attained abroad.

Because detailed information on occupational training abroad is not assessed in the Questionnaire of the SOEP Innovation Sample, PGBBILA is not generated for Sample E (since 2012), I (since 2011) and the supplementary samples (since 2012). For this purpose, PGBBILA is coded to “-5” (not contained in questionnaire).

pgsbilo – Secondary school degree/diploma - East Germany

? In which country did you receive your school leaving certificate? (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q251;lsab3[1853]*)

1	[1] 8th Gr. Completed	1670
2	[2] 10th Grade Completed	2920
3	[3] Abitur, EOS	1147
4	[4] Other	95
5	[5] Dropout, No School Certificate	34
6	[6] Currently In School	0
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	32532
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

As a supplement to the variable PGSBIL the highest secondary school degree/diploma in East Germany is provided as a separate variable.

New SOEP respondents are also asked about secondary degrees/diplomas obtained in the former GDR; and for old respondents, the same codes are carried forward.

As SOEP-IS does not include a youth questionnaire, since 2012 information usually coming from the youth questionnaire was not included in the generation of PGSBILO.

pgbbilo – Vocational degree attained - East Germany

? What type of vocational training or university degree is this about? (from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q258;[3122])

1	[1] Vocational Training	2768
2	[2] Master Craftsman	296
3	[3] Engineering, Technical Degree	668
4	[4] Other Degree	56
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	33886
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	724
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

To supplement the variable PGBBIL01 the highest secondary school degree/diploma in East Germany is provided as a separate variable and updated if necessary for 1991. Since 1992 only the West German version has been used for new vocational degrees. For new SOEP respondents, vocational degrees attained in the former GDR are asked as well; for old respondents, the same codes are carried forward. From 2002 on, the questionnaire was expanded and revised, but this led to an operationalization involving more assumptions on the vocational degrees attained in the GDR; (from 2002 on, Code 3 is also listed as the additional category Code 4 in the integrated variables PGBBIL03 if this degree has not been replaced by a more recently attained, higher-level university or college degree).

Since the SOEP-IS does not include a youth questionnaire, the information usually coming from the youth questionnaire was not included in the generation of PGBBILO.

pgfamstd – Marital status in survey year

? What is your family status? (from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q149;pfamst[3019])

1	[1] Married	22268
2	[2] Married, But Separated	743
3	[3] Single	9176
4	[4] Divorced	3524
5	[5] Widowed	2571
6	[6] registered same sex partnership	53
7	[7] registered same sex partnership, seperated	10
-1	[-1] No Answer	49
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	4
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

- other = 10 years

additional occupational training (includes universities):

- apprenticeship = 1.5 years
- technical schools (incl. health) = 2 years
- civil servants apprenticeship = 1.5 years
- higher technical college = 3 years
- university degree = 5 years

Note that for a high school degree 13 years of education are being taken into account, despite the changes of reducing high school by one year in most German federal states in the period 2001-2007.

Furthermore, the introduction of a Bachelor/Master System in the German higher education system in the early 2000's is not yet reflected in the calculation of years in (higher) education. Hence, 5 years of university education is taken into account although the respondents could have finished in 3 years with the Bachelor's degree.

Helberger, Christof (1988): Eine Überprüfung der Linearitätsannahme der Humankapitaltheorie. In: H.-J. Bodenhöfer (ed.): Bildung, Beruf, Arbeitsmarkt, pp. 151-170, Berlin. Schwarze, Johannes (1991): Ausbildung und Einkommen von Männern - Einkommensfunktionsschätzungen für die ehemalige DDR und die Bundesrepublik Deutschland. In: Mitteilungen aus der Arbeitsmarkt- und Berufsforschung, (24), pp. 63-69.

pgerwzt – Length Of Time With Firm

? Since when are you working for your current employer? // Year (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q301:PSEITJ[3160]*)

? Since when are you working for your current employer? // Month (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q301:PSEITM[3160]*)

-1	[-1] No Answer	64
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	17166
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	5
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

The variable PGERWZT is designed to offer data on the length of time with the firm at the point in time of the interview for all employed persons. This variable is generated from the respondent's start date with the current employer. In the case of a job change within the firm, the full length of time with the firm is calculated. Hence, the variable describes the length of time with the same firm and not the length of time in the same position.

The variable provides consistent longitudinal information on the length of time with the same employer. Data that show longitudinal inconsistencies are corrected.

1. In case of no job change, the information on the start date with the current employer given in the earliest interview available is treated as dominant and carried forward to the subsequent years.

2. In case of a job change between firms, the information on the start of the current position is used and carried forward to the subsequent years.
3. Up to wave Z (2009), a respondent who starts working again after a period of non-employment is assumed to have returned to the former employer if the indicated start date with the current employer was before the previous interview date. In this case, the start date with the current employer given in the previous interview is treated as dominant. Otherwise, the present information on the start date with the current employer is used and carried forward to the subsequent years. For respondents who are assumed to have returned to their former employer, the full length of time with the firm is calculated. There is no deduction for the time during which the respondent was not employed.
4. Since wave BA (2010), there is a modified answer category in the questionnaire which indicates that a respondent returns to his/her former employer after a period of non-employment. If a respondent indicates to have started working again at a former employer, the present information on the start date with the current employer is used and carried forward to the subsequent years. Unlike before wave BA (2010), the present information is treated as dominant even if the indicated start date with the current employer was before the previous interview date. Hence, the full length of time with the firm is calculated, and there is no deduction for the time during which the respondent was not employed or employed in another firm.
5. The length of time with the firm is also provided for the East German sample since its start in 1990. Due to the massive restructuring of the economy that took place in East Germany after reunification, this variable should be dealt with cautiously in the first transition years.

Both monthly and annual information is used in the variables and rounded off as length of time in years (with months in decimal form). If the month was not available a random month is used.

pgtatzt – Actual Weekly Work Time

? And how many hours do you generally work every week, including any overtime? / [if self-employed:] How many hours do you usually work per week? // Hours per week (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q310:PAZ10[1903]*)

0.4	1
1	21
1.5	4
2	47
2.5	4
3	58
3.5	8
4	79
4.5	6
5	128
5.5	10
6	145
6.5	2
7	67

7.5		11
...	(147 rows omitted)	19044
69		1
70		142
71		1
72		15
75		27
76		3
77		1
78		2
80		14
-1	[-1] No Answer	641
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	16194
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	78
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	1644
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable is designed to offer annual data on actual weekly working hours (including overtime) for all persons employed at the time of the survey (including the self-employed). The data are obtained by asking respondents how many hours they work on average per week.

For implausible answers (actual weekly working hours of more than 80 per week), we assign the value (-3). The variable is rounded off and gives the number of working hours as a decimal number.

Please also see PGVEBZT and PGUEBSTD.

pgvebzt – Agreed Upon Weekly Work Time

? How many hours per week are stipulated in your contract (excluding overtime)? // Hours per week (from: *soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q309:PAZ08[2205]*)

0.6		1
1		3
1.5		4
2		26
2.5		3
3		37
3.5		7
4		53
4.5		4
5		82
5.5		7
6		96
6.5		2
7		43
7.5		7
...	(153 rows omitted)	16377
57		1
57.5		1
60		27

62.5		1
65		4
70		3
72		1
75		1
78		1
-1	[-1] No Answer	1278
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	18681
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	3
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	1644
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable is designed to offer annual data on agreed weekly working hours. The variable takes into account only those persons who were in dependent employment (not self-employed) at the time of the survey. Agreed weekly working hours were asked up to 1989 only in full hours, and from 1990 on in three-digit form (counting the first digit after the decimal point).

The value (-2) is assigned to non-employed people, employees without set hours and to self-employed people, including self-employed farmers, freelancers, and other self-employed persons. In 2012, the value (-2) was assigned only to non-employed people and to self-employed people, including self-employed farmers, freelancers, and other self-employed persons. If persons helping out in family businesses report agreed weekly working hours, we assign a non-missing value.

For implausible answers (agreed weekly working time of more than 80 hours per week) we assign the value (-3).

The variable is rounded off and gives the number of working hours as a decimal number. Please also see PGTATZT and PGUEBSTD.

pguebstd – Overtime per Week

0		9004
0.1		1
0.2		20
0.4		3
0.5		109
0.7		75
0.8		1
0.9		130
1		300
1.1		1
1.2		195
1.4		132
1.5		78
1.6		45
1.7		1
...	(109 rows omitted)	7065
27.5		1
28		4
29		4

30		22
30.5		1
31		1
34		1
35		5
40		1
-1	[-1] No Answer	1159
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	18393
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	2
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	1644
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable is designed to offer annual data on overtime per week for all persons in dependent employment at the time of the survey. The data is obtained by asking respondents how many overtime hours they worked in the month before the survey. The number of monthly overtime hours is then converted into weekly overtime by dividing the number given by 4.3. Since PGUEBSTD refers to weekly overtime during the last month, the number may deviate from the difference between average actual weekly working hours and the agreed weekly working hours.

In the year 2012, respondents were not asked about the number of hours of overtime per week. PGUEBSTD was therefore generated using the difference between average actual weekly working hours and agreed weekly working hours.

The value (-2) is assigned to non-employed people, employees without set hours and to self-employed people, including self-employed farmers, freelancers, and other self-employed persons. If persons helping out in family businesses report overtime hours, we assign a non-missing value. For implausible answers (agreed-upon weekly working time or actual weekly working time of more than 80 hours per week AND weekly overtime of more than 10 hours we assign the value (-3).

The variable is rounded down and gives the number of overtime hours as a decimal. Please also see PGVEBZT and PGTATZT.

p_{glfs} – Labor Force Status

? Have you done paid work during the last 7 days, even if only for an hour or a few hours? (from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q240;p7tag[3110])

? Are you currently on maternity leave (Mutterschutz) or on statutory parental leave (Elternzeit)? (from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q241;perz[3111])

? Are you currently enrolled in an educational or training program? In other words: are you in school or higher education, working on a doctor's degree, completing vocational training, or taking part in further training? (from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q260;paus1[3123])

? Are you currently employed? Which one of the following applies best to your status? (from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q271;perw[3133])

1	[1] Non-Working	4059
2	[2] NW-Age 65 And Older	8314
3	[3] NW-In Education-Training	1077
4	[4] NW-Maternity Leave	540
5	[5] NW-Military-Community Service	23
6	[6] NW-Unemployed	1848
8	[8] NW-But Sometimes Sec. Job	216

9	[9] NW-Work But Past 7 Days	322
10	[10] NW-But Reg. Sec. Job	540
11	[11] Working	21156
12	[12] Working But NW Past 7 Days	302
-1	[-1] No Answer	1
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable is based on the annual question on current employment status, combined with additional information on activities of non-working individuals. Since the beginning of the SOEP in the year 1984, the number of values assigned has been based on a large number of highly differentiated answer categories. It is designed to provide consistent longitudinal data on labor force participation across all waves.

PGLFS provides a differentiation between “working” (Code 11-12) and “non-working” (Code 1-10), categories which are constant over all waves. Non-employment is subdivided further in order to make it possible to efficiently apply different labor market concepts in studying the data. To calculate this variable, the variables on employment status, age, maternity leave, second jobs, registration at the employment office, participation in paid work during the past 7 days and training status are used. Code (12) was added in 2000.

For respondents who have multiple status codes and different values for this variable, the following hierarchy was used to determine which of the values would play the determining role (increasing dominance):

- 11 - working
- 1 - non-working without further information
- 2 - non-working, and older than 65
- 3 - non-working, and currently in a training program
- 6 - non-working, and registered unemployed
- 4 - non-working, on maternity leave
- 5 - non-working, in military/community service
- 9 - non-working, but working past 7 days
- 10 - non-working, but regular second job
- 8 - non-working, but occasional second job
- 12 - working, but non-working past 7 days

PGLFS supplements the variable PGEMPLST, which differentiates among persons who are employed.

pgis88 – 4-digit ISCO-88 Occupation Code

100	[100] Soldiers	63
1000	[1000] Legislators, Senior Officials and Managers	0
1100	[1100] Legislators and Senior Government Officials	0
1110	[1110] Legislators and Senior Government Officials	0
1140	[1140] Senior Officials of Special-Interest Organisations	4
1141	[1141] Senior Officials of Political Party Organisations	0
1142	[1142] Senior Officials of Employers', Workers' and Other Economic-Interest Organisations	22
1143	[1143] Senior Officials of Humanitarian and Other Special-Interest Organisations	0
1200	[1200] Corporate Managers	71
1210	[1210] Directors and Chief Executives	208
1220	[1220] Production and Operations Managers	0
1221	[1221] Production and Operations Managers in Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry and Fishing	0
1222	[1222] Production and Operations Managers in Manufacturing	65
1223	[1223] Production and Operations Managers in Construction	0
1224	[1224] Production and Operations Managers in Wholesale and Retail Trade	0
...	(468 rows omitted)	19895
9213	[9213] Fishery, Hunting and Trapping Labourers	0
9300	[9300] Labourers in Mining, Construction, Manufacturing and Transport	0
9310	[9310] Mining and Construction Labourers	0
9311	[9311] Mining and Quarrying Labourers	2
9312	[9312] Construction and Maintenance Labourers: Roads, Dams and Similar Constructions	3
9313	[9313] Building Construction Laborer	35
9320	[9320] Manufacturing Laborer	334
9330	[9330] Transport Lab., Freight Handler	156
-1	[-1] No Answer	293
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	17247
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0
-8	[-8] Question this year not part of Survey program	0

Code name (Main group, group):

- (1000) Legislators, senior officials, and managers
- (1001) Legislators and senior officials
- (1002) Corporate managers
- (1003) Managers of small enterprises
- (1004) Professionals
- (1005) Physical, mathematical, and engineering science professionals
- (1006) Life science and health professionals
- (1007) Teaching professionals
- (1008) Other professionals

- (1009) Technicians and associate professionals
- (1010) Physical and engineering science associate professionals
- (1011) Life science and health associate professionals
- (1012) Teaching associate professionals
- (1013) Other associate professionals
- (1014) Clerks
- (1015) Office clerks
- (1016) Customer services clerks
- (1017) Service Workers and shop and market sales workers
- (1018) Personal and protective services workers
- (1019) Models, salespersons, and demonstrators
- (1020) Skilled agricultural and fishery Workers
- (1021) Skilled agricultural and fishery workers
- (1022) Craft and related trades workers
- (1023) Extraction and building trades workers
- (1024) Metal, machinery, and related trades workers
- (1025) Precision, handicraft, craft printing and related trades workers
- (1026) Other craft and related trades workers
- (1027) Plant and machine operators and assemblers
- (1028) Stationary plant and related operators
- (1029) Machine operators and assemblers
- (1030) Drivers and mobile plant operators
- (1031) Elementary occupations
- (1032) Sales and services elementary occupations
- (1033) Agricultural, fishery, and related laborers
- (1034) Laborers in mining, construction, manufacturing, and transport

This variable is designed to provide annual data on occupational activity for all employed persons according to the International Standard Classification of Occupations ISCO-88. Respondents answer the question on their current occupational title in their own words, and this response is entered into a blank in the questionnaire.

ISCO-88 is a strictly four-digit classification, and this variable is therefore coded in four-digit form. In contrast to the previous version of the classification system, ISCO-68, ISCO-88 does not use blanks if there is no adequate information for specific coding, but uses zeros instead. Thus 4000 stands for an unspecified office job; 2300 stands for teachers and 2000 stands

for scientists, both without closer specification. There is no conversion key since the two classifications differ significantly. Hartmann and Schütz (2002) provide detailed information on the conducted occupational coding. This result has been slightly modified to fit to the ISCO-88 version for European Union purposes (ISCO-88(COM)).

Not all employed persons are asked the relevant input questions on an annual basis. Only those employed persons who changed jobs and first-time respondents were asked to provide up-to-date information.

Hartmann/Schütz (2002): Die Klassifikation der Berufe und der Wirtschaftszweige im Sozioökonomischen Panel. Neuvercodung der Daten 1984–2001. Infratest Sozialforschung, München. <https://www.diw.de/documents/>

pgisei - International Socio-Economic Index of Occupational Status

-1	[-1] No Answer	279
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	14178
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable reflects the Standard International Socio-Economic Index of Occupational Status for all employed persons. The ISEI Index was developed in 1992 by Ganzeboom, De Graaf, Treiman, and De Leew based on information about income, education, and occupation. Technically, ISEI was created by scaling the ISCO88 classification. The values for the variable range between 16 and 90. In contrast to the prestige scores of Ganzeboom and Treiman (1996) and Wegener (1988), ISEI is a measure of socio-economic status. It is derived from the ISCO88 code of the current occupation using the Stata ado iskoisei by John Hendrickx which itself is based on Harry Ganzeboom's SPSS algorithms. Not all employed persons are asked the relevant input questions on an annual basis. Only those employed persons who changed jobs and first-time respondents were asked to provide up-to-date information.

Please also see occupational prestige scores (PGSIOPS, PGMPS) and occupational class (PGE GP).

Ganzeboom, H. B. G. / De Graaf, P. M. / Treiman, D. J. / De Leew, J. (1992): A Standard International Socio-Economic Index of Occupation Status, In: Social Science Research 21: 1-56

pgmps - Magnitude Prestige Scale KLAS

30	10
30.1	31
30.2	50
30.3	4
31	251
31.1	60
31.2	4
31.5	233
31.7	126
31.8	13
31.9	3
32	16

32.1	247
32.2	12
32.3	706
... (157 rows omitted)	21321
135.7	161
138.2	32
138.9	13
139.8	40
145.7	126
152.5	139
191.3	249
207.2	35
216	15
-1 [-1] No Answer	315
-2 [-2] Does Not Apply	14186
-3 [-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4 [-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5 [-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6 [-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable gives the occupational prestige score developed by Wegener (1988) for all employed persons. Like the PGSIOPS prestige score, Wegener's prestige scale measures a person's occupational prestige and was developed especially for use in the Federal Republic of Germany. PGMPS is assigned based on the German Federal Statistical Office's occupational classification of 1992 (PGKLAS). The procedure has been documented in Frietsch and Wirth (2001).

Not all employed persons are asked the relevant input questions on an annual basis. Only those employed persons who changed jobs and first-time respondents were asked to provide up-to-date information.

Please also see occupational prestige scores (PGSIOPS), occupational status (PGISEI), and occupational class (PGE GP).

Wegener, Bernd (1988): *Kritik des Prestiges, Opladen*. Frietsch, Rainer, and Heike Wirth (2001): *Die Übertragung der Magnitude-Prestigeskala von Wegener auf die Klassifikation der Berufe*. In: *ZUMA Nachrichten* 48 (Jg.25): 139-165.

pgnace – Two-digit NACE Industry – Sector

? In which branch of business or industry is your company or institution active for the most part? (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q294;pbra[3153]*)

1	[1] Agriculture, Hunting, Related Service Activities	409
2	[2] Forestry, Logging, Related Service activities	21
5	[5] Fishing, Operation Of Fish Hatcheries And Fish Farms	7
10	[10] Mining Of Coal And Lignite; Extraction Of Peat	76
11	[11] Extraction Of Crude Petroleum And Natural Gas	1
12	[12] Mining Of Uranium And Thorium Ores	0
13	[13] Mining Of Metal Ores	0
14	[14] Other Mining And Quarrying	15
15	[15] Manuf Food Products And Beverages	385
16	[16] Manuf Tobacco Products	4
17	[17] Manuf Textiles	85

18	[18] Manuf Wearing Apparel; Dressing And Dyeing Of Fur	41
19	[19] Tanning,Dressing Of Leather; Manuf luggage, Footwear	5
20	[20] Manuf Wood Products, Except Furniture	75
21	[21] Manuf Pulp, Paper And Paper Products	63
...	(40 rows omitted)	18141
91	[91] Activities Of Membership Organizations NEC.	308
92	[92] Recreational, Cultural And Sporting Activities	436
93	[93] Other Service Activities	202
95	[95] Private Households With Employed Persons	103
96	[96] Industry - NEC	97
97	[97] Handcraft, Trade - NEC	93
98	[98] Services - NEC	237
99	[99] Extra-territorial Organizations And Bodies	7
100	[100] Manufacturing - NEC	50
-1	[-1] No Answer	894
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	16642
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	1
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable is designed to provide annual data on the industry of economic activity for all employed persons according to the Statistical Classification of Economic Activities in the European Community (Nomenclature des statistiques des activités économiques de la Communauté européenne - NACE). Respondents answer the question in their own words regarding the industry in which they are currently working, and this response is entered into a blank in the questionnaire. In order to facilitate international comparability, the European industry standard classification system is used by Infratest Sozialforschung to recode this information. This recoding has been documented in Hartmann/Schütz 2002.

The codes in NACE Rev.1 also correspond to ISIC Rev.3 (International Standard Classification of All Economic Activities). With the 2001 data distribution, the sector codes formerly used in the SOEP were completely recoded to the NACE classification. Please note that special codes 96-98 as well as 100 were assigned by Infratest Sozialforschung whenever respondents did not provide a more detailed answer.

Not all employed persons are asked the relevant input questions on an annual basis. Only those employed persons who changed jobs and first-time respondents were asked to provide up-to-date information.

Hartmann/Schütz (2002): Die Klassifikation der Berufe und der Wirtschaftszweige im Sozio-oekonomischen Panel – Neuvercodung der Daten 1984–2001. Infratest Sozialforschung, München.

pgsiops – Treimans Standard Int. Occupation Prestige Score

13	14
15	53
17	4
18	2
19	440
20	306
21	985
22	148

23		218
24		43
25		393
26		24
27		17
28		190
29		131
...	(37 rows omitted)	19918
68		7
69		25
70		363
71		47
72		70
73		103
75		15
76		28
78		397
-1	[-1] No Answer	279
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	14178
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable gives the occupational prestige score index for all employed persons. PGSIOPS is based on ISCO-88 and was developed by Donald Treiman et al. The scale ranges from 6 to 78.

Not all employed persons are asked the relevant input questions on an annual basis. Only those employed persons who changed jobs and first-time respondents were asked to provide up-to-date information.

Please also see occupational prestige scores (PGMPS), occupational status (PGISEI), and occupational class (PGE GP).

Ganzeboom, Harry B.G. and Donald Treiman (1996): Internationally comparable Measures of Occupational Status for the 1988 International Standard Classification of Occupations. In: Social Science Research, Vol. 25, 201-239

pggegp – Erikson and Goldthorpe Class Category

1	[1] [I] Higher Managerial and Professional Workers	3287
2	[2] [II] Lower Managerial and Professional Workers	5736
3	[3] [IIIa] Routine Clerical Work	3022
4	[4] [IIIb] Routine Service and Sales Work	3286
5	[5] [IVa] Small Self-Employed With Employees	400
6	[6] [IVb] Small Self-Employed Without Employees	653
7	[7] [V] Manual Supervisors	0
8	[8] [VI] Skilled Manual Workers	3551
9	[9] [VIIa] Semi- and Unskilled Manual Workers	3437
10	[10] [VIIb] Agricultural Labour	330
11	[11] [IVc] Self-Employed Farmers	134
-1	[-1] No Answer	277

-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	14285
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0
-8	[-8] Question this year not part of Survey program	0

This variable gives the occupational class for all employed persons. PGEGP is derived from the Standard International Socio-Economic Index of Occupational Status (ISEI). Technically, the variable was created by scaling the ISCO-88 classification. In addition, it is based on information about income, education and occupation. The EGP Index was documented by Ganzeboom/Treiman in 1996 and revised in 2003.

Former versions and waves contained additional categories for unemployed persons (15) and pensioners (18). From wave 2015 on the *egp*-variable has a more standard shape. Information on unemployment and retirement can be found in *PGSTIB* (occupational position) and *PGLFS* (labor force status).

Annual information on the occupational position is used to generate the EGP-categories for the self-employed. In case no information on the number of employees is available, the PGEGP-categories (5) and (6) contain information on the firm size for self-employed persons.

Based on the new classification developed by Ganzeboom/Treiman (2003), several ISCO values were recoded in PGEGP as follows:

- ISCO 2470 becomes EGP=1.
- ISCO 2500 becomes EGP=2.
- ISCO 4300, 4400, 4500 become EGP=4.
- ISCO 7900 becomes EGP=7.
- ISCO 9910-9990 become EGP=9.

Not all employed persons are asked the relevant input questions on an annual basis. Only those employed persons who changed jobs and first-time respondents were asked to provide up-to-date information.

Please also see occupational status (*PGISEI*) and occupational prestige scores (*PGSIOPS*, *PGMPS*).

Ganzeboom, H. B. G. /Treiman, D. J. (1996): *Internationally Comparable Measures of Occupational Status for the 1988 International Standard Classification of Occupations* In: *Social Science Research* 25: 201-239
 Ganzeboom, H. B. G. /Treiman, D. J. (2003): *Three Internationally Standardised Measures for Comparative Research on Occupational Status*. In: Hoffmeyer-Zlotnik, J. H. P. Wolf, C. (eds.): *Advances in Cross-National Comparison. A European Working Book for Demographic and Socio-Economic Variables*. New York: Kluwer Academic/ Plenum Publishers. pp. 159–193.

pgklas – StaBuA 1992 Job Classification

- ? What is your current position/ occupation? (from: *soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q292;pber[3151]*)
- ? What is your current occupational status? (from: *soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q295;pstell[3154]*)
- ? What is your current occupational status? // Other position, in fact: (from: *soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q295:PSTELLSO[3154]*)

110	[110] Landwirt(e/innen), allgemein	112
111	[111] Obst- und Gemuesebauern/-baeuerinnen (nicht Gaertner/innen)	4
112	[112] Ackerbauern/-baeuerinnen fuer Spezial-, Dauerkulturen	0
113	[113] Viehhalter/innen und Gruenlandwirt(e/innen)	0
114	[114] Saat, Pflanzenzuechter/innen, Vermehrer/innen (nicht Gaertner/innen)	0
115	[115] Pflanzenschuetzer/innen	3
116	[116] Landwirt(e/innen) und Gastwirt(e/innen)/Kaufleute	0
118	[118] Landwirt(e/innen) und Winzer/innen	0
120	[120] Winzer/innen, allgemein	0
121	[121] Rebenveredler/innen	0
129	[129] andere Winzer/innen	0
130	[130] Landarbeitskraefte, allgemein	12
131	[131] Landarbeitsaufseher/innen	0
132	[132] Landmaschinenfuehrer/innen	7
133	[133] Weinbergsarbeiter/innen	0
...	(2262 rows omitted)	20681
9832	[9832] Sonstige Arbeitskraefte (arbeitsuchend) mit (noch) nicht bestimmtem Be	0
9911	[9911] Facharbeiter/innen o.n.T.	6
9921	[9921] Heimarbeiter/innen o.n.T.	1
9931	[9931] Vorarbeiter/innen, Gruppenleiter/innen o.n.T.	14
9941	[9941] Zivildienstleistende o.n.T.	0
9951	[9951] Selbstaendige o.n.T.	33
9961	[9961] Beratungs-, Planungsfachleute o.n.T.	16
9971	[9971] Sonstige Arbeitskraefte o.n.T.	113
-1	[-1] No Answer	158
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	17238
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0
-8	[-8] Question this year not part of Survey program	0

This variable is designed to provide annual data on job classification for all employed persons according to the classification of the German Federal Statistical Office (StaBuA). Respondents answer the question on their current occupational title in their own words, and this response is entered into a blank in the questionnaire. Due to data protection regulations, this information cannot be provided to data users and was therefore completely recoded by Infratest Sozialforschung in the year 2002. This recoding has been documented in Hartmann/Schütz 2002.

Not all employed persons are asked the relevant input questions on an annual basis. Only those employed persons who changed jobs and first-time respondents were asked to provide up-to-date information.

The occupational classification of the German Federal Statistical Office differentiates among six main occupational types (see next page):

I KLAS-Codes 0100-0629 Berufe in der Land-, Tier-, Forstwirtschaft und im Gartenbau

II KLAS-Codes 0700-0809 Bergleute, Mineralgewinner

III Fertigungsberufe

IIIa KLAS-Codes 1000-1129 Berufe in der Steinbearbeitung und Baustoffherstellung

IIIb KLAS-Codes 1200-1359 Keramik-, Glasberufe

IIIc KLAS-Codes 1400-1539 Chemie-, Kunststoffberufe

III d KLAS-Codes 1600-1799 Berufe in der Papierherstellung, -verarbeitung und im Druck
 III e KLAS-Codes 1800-1859 Berufe in der Holzverarbeitung, Holz- und Flechtwarenherstellung

III f KLAS-Codes 1900-2459 Berufe in der Metallerzeugung und -bearbeitung

III g KLAS-Codes 2500-3099 Metall-, Maschinenbau- und verwandte Berufe

III h KLAS-Codes 3100-3189 Elektroberufe

III i KLAS-Codes 3200-3239 MontiererInnen und Metallberufe, a.n.g.

III k KLAS-Codes 3300-3619 Textil- und Bekleidungsberufe

III l KLAS-Codes 3700-3789 Berufe in der Lederherstellung, Leder- und Fellverarbeitung

III m KLAS-Codes 3900-4359 Ernährungsberufe

III n KLAS-Codes 4400-4729 Hoch-, Tiefbauberufe

III o KLAS-Codes 4800-4929 Ausbauberufe, PolsterInnen

III p KLAS-Codes 5000-5069 Berufe in der Holz- und Kunststoffverarbeitung

III q KLAS-Codes 5100-5149 MalerInnen, LackiererInnen und verwandte Berufe

III r KLAS-Codes 5200-5239 WarenprüferInnen, VersandfertigmacherInnen

III s KLAS-Codes 5300-5319 HilfsarbeiterInnen ohne nähere Tätigkeitsangabe

III t KLAS-Codes 5400-5509 MaschinistInnen und zugehörige Berufe

IV Technische Berufe

IV a KLAS-Codes 6000-6129 IngenieurInnen, ChemikerInnen, PhysikerInnen, MathematikerInnen

IV b KLAS-Codes 6200-6529 TechnikerInnen, Technische Sonderfachkräfte

V Dienstleistungsberufe

V a KLAS-Codes 6600-6899 Warenkaufleute

V b KLAS-Codes 6900-7069 Dienstleistungskaufleute und zugehörige Berufe

V c KLAS-Codes 7100-7449 Verkehrsberufe

V d KLAS-Codes 7500-7899 Organisations-, Verwaltungs-, Büroberufe

V e KLAS-Codes 7900-8149 Ordnungs- und Sicherheitsberufe

V f KLAS-Codes 8200-8399 Schriftwerkschaffende, -ordnende und künstlerische Berufe

V g KLAS-Codes 8400-8599 Gesundheitsdienstberufe

V h KLAS-Codes 8600-8949 Sozial- und Erziehungsberufe, anderweitig nicht genannte geistes- und sozialwissenschaftliche Berufe

V i KLAS-Codes 9000-9379 Sonstige Dienstleistungsberufe

VI KLAS-Codes 9700-9979 Sonstige Arbeitskräfte

Because of gaps in the answers provided by respondents, the following “new” codes were created:

9711 - Mithelfende Familienangehörige außerhalb der Landwirtschaft, anderweitig nicht genannt

9811 - Auszubildende mit (noch) nicht feststehendem Ausbildungsberuf

9821 - Praktikanten/Praktikantinnen, Volontäre/ Volontärinnen mit (noch) nicht feststehendem Beruf

9911 - Facharbeiter/innen, ohne nähere Tätigkeitsangabe

9921 - Heimarbeiter/innen, ohne nähere Tätigkeitsangabe

9931 - Vorarbeiter/innen, Gruppenleiter/innen, ohne nähere Tätigkeitsangabe

9971 - Sonstige Arbeitskräfte, ohne nähere Tätigkeitsangabe

Statistisches Bundesamt (1996): Bevölkerung und Erwerbstätigkeit, Fachserie 1, Reihe 4.1.2., Beruf, Ausbildung und Arbeitsbedingung der Erwerbstätigen 1995 (Ergebnisse des Mikrozensus). Stuttgart: Metzler-Poeschel. pp. 317-323. Hartmann/Schütz (2002): Die Klassifikation der Berufe und der Wirtschaftszweige im Sozio-oekonomischen Panel – Neuvercodung der Daten 1984–2001. Infratest Sozialforschung, München.

pgautono – Autonomy in occupational activity

? In your position at work, do you supervise others? In other words, do people work under your direction? (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q296;pvor1[3155]*)

0	[0] Apprentice	885
1	[1] Low Autonomy	2343
2	[2] [2/5]	4993
3	[3] [3/5]	7621
4	[4] [4/5]	4433
5	[5] High Autonomy	768
-1	[-1] No Answer	441
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	16914
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable gives the occupational autonomy for all employed persons. It offers an alternative to the ISCO-based scales on occupational status (PGISEI), class (PGEGP), or prestige (PGSIOPS). PGAUTONO is the simplest variable based on the scales of “occupational position” in terms of its construction, and strongly correlated with the Treiman Prestige Scale (PGSIOPS).

The basis for the “autonomy in occupational activity” scale is the classification of occupational position. Self-employed persons are categorized according to the size of the company (with the exception of farmers, who are all classified within the same category of autonomy, independent of farm size in hectares). Civil servants are differentiated according to the civil service laws defining each kind of activity and the amount of autonomy connected to it. Workers are differentiated according to their vocational training, and thus categorized hierarchically according to the different tasks they can be expected to carry out and the different amounts of responsibility associated with each task. Similarly, salaried employees are classified according to how differentiated their tasks are and how much responsibility is associated with each.

The value “1” is assigned mainly to manual workers with a low level of status and a low level of autonomy. Group 2 encompasses work in production, services demanding a minimal level of specialization, and farm work. Activities that require completion of the middle track of secondary education and entail a limited amount of responsibility are classified in Group 3. Group 4 includes activities carried out either with or without supervision that require a degree from a college of applied sciences or university, but are not very high in prestige. Managers and freelance academics are both placed in Group 5 (highest autonomy). Depending on the number of employees, self-employed are categorized in Group 3, Group 4, or Group 5.

Hoffmeyer-Zlotnik, Jürgen H.P., and Alfons J. Geis (2003) Berufs-klassifikation und Messung des beruflichen Status/ Prestige. In: ZUMA-Nachrichten 52, Jg. 27, Mai 2003. pp. 125-138.

pgiscd – Highest degree/diploma attained, ISCED-1997

0	[0] (0) in school	524
1	[1] (1) inadequately	650
2	[2] (2) general elementary	5339
3	[3] (3) middle vocational	18995

4	[4] (4) vocational + Abi	2656
5	[5] (5) higher vocational	2272
6	[6] (6) higher education	7490
-1	[-1] No Answer	472
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

To make the educational degrees and diplomas attained in different countries comparable, for all respondents an educational variable (PGISCED) is generated retroactively using the international classification scheme ISCED-1997 (International Standard Classification of Education). It creates the highest degree/diploma attained, taking into account degrees and diplomas attained in both general schooling and in vocational and university education. Here the higher-level vocational and university override lower-level school diplomas. Persons who, for example, have no values for the variables on secondary school degrees/diplomas but state that they have a university degree are placed in the highest ISCED category. Please note that, due to a lack of more detailed information on tertiary degrees – in particular on doctoral degrees – we include all tertiary degrees in our ISCED category 6. Thus, the ISCED variable provided here is not comparable one-to-one with the ISCED levels as defined by the OECD, since we have included the original ISCED level 5A in our ISCED category 6. See below for more details.

Since the SOEP-IS does not include a youth questionnaire, the information usually coming from the youth questionnaire was not included in the generation of PGBBILA. Furthermore, since the year 2012, input information from PGBBILA is not being used as PGBBILA itself is not being generated.

OECD (1999): Classifying Educational Programmes: Manual for ISCED-97 Implementation in OECD Countries. Paris 1999.

pgcasmin - Highest degree/diploma according to CASMIN

0	[0] (0) In School	531
1	[1] (1a) Inadequately Completed	647
2	[2] (1b) General Elementary School	3336
3	[3] (1c) Basic Vocational Qualification	10177
4	[4] (2b) Intermediate General Qualification	1563
5	[5] (2a) Intermediate Vocational	9775
6	[6] (2c_gen) General Maturity Certificate	1482
7	[7] (2c_voc) Vocational Maturity Certificate	2850
8	[8] (3a) Lower Tertiary Education	2127
9	[9] (3b) Higher Tertiary Education	5363
-1	[-1] No Answer	547
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

As an alternative to PGISCED, a second educational variable is generated (PGCASMIN) that also enables comparison with international educational degrees/diplomas. Based on the modified CASMIN classification scheme (Comparative Analysis of Social Mobility in Industrial Nations), this variable has been computed retroactively from 1984 on for all respondents. Taken into account are both secondary-level and university/college-level degrees and diplomas. As with PGISCED, the higher-level occupational degrees override the lower-level secondary school degrees.

The original version is described in: König, W./Lüttinger, P./Müller, W. (1988): A Comparative Analysis of the Development and Structure of Educational Systems. Methodological Foundations and the Construction of a Comparative Educational Scale. CASMIN Working Paper No. 12. Mannheim: Universität Mannheim. For the modified version see: Brauns, H./Steinmann, (1999): Educational Reform in France, West-Germany and the United Kingdom: Updating the CASMIN Educational Classification. In: ZUMA Nachrichten, Jg. 23, H. 44, pp. 7-44.

pgstib – Occupational Position

? Are you currently enrolled in an educational or training program? In other words: are you in school or higher education, working on a doctor's degree, completing vocational training, or taking part in further training? (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q260;paus1[3123]*)

? What kind of general education / secondary school is it about? (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q262;paus2[3125]*)

? What is your current position/ occupation? (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q292;pber[3151]*)

? What is your current occupational status? (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q295;pstell[3154]*)

? What is your current occupational status? // Other position, in fact: (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q295;PSTELLSO[3154]*)

? In your position at work, do you supervise others? In other words, do people work under your direction? (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q296;pvor1[3155]*)

? What is your current occupational status as blue-collar worker? (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q297;parb[3156]*)

? What is your current occupational status as white-collar worker? (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q298;pang[3157]*)

? What is your current occupational status as civil servant? (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q299;pamt[3158]*)

? What is your current occupational status as apprentice / trainee or intern? (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q300;pazubi[3159]*)

? In what occupational position are you currently self-employed? (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q307;psst[3164]*)

? Please specify the number of your employees and staff. (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q308;psstanz[2567]*)

0	[0] Do Not Know	0
10	[10] Not Employed	2867
11	[11] In Education	1348
12	[12] Unemployed, Not Employer	2122
13	[13] Pensioner	10499
15	[15] Military, Community Service	78
110	[110] Apprentice	43
120	[120] Apprentice, Trainee Industry Technology	436
130	[130] Apprentice, Trainee Trade And Commerce	296

140	[140] Trainee, Intern	110
150	[150] Aspirant	0
210	[210] Untrained Worker	653
220	[220] Semi-Trained Worker	1690
230	[230] Trained Worker	1821
240	[240] Foreman, Team Leader	254
...	(21 rows omitted)	3737
522	[522] Trained Employee With Simple Tasks	1799
530	[530] Qualified Professional	5608
540	[540] H. Qualified Professional	2946
550	[550] Managerial	296
610	[610] Low-Level Civil Service	48
620	[620] Middle-Level Civil Service	400
630	[630] High-Level Civil Service	582
640	[640] Executive Civil Service	330
999	[999] Employed Without StiB Info	0
-1	[-1] No Answer	434
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	1
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

The variable represents a compilation of all relevant information on current occupational position. It is generated by combining information on “occupational group”, “unemployed (yes/no)”, “military/community service”, “in education (yes/no)”, and “pensioner”. A hierarchical scheme is used to determine which data is given precedence when a variety of divergent information exists (increasing dominance):

10 – not employed

13 – pensioner

11 – currently in education

15 – military / community service

12 – registered unemployed

110-150 - apprentice

410-440 – self-employed

210-250 – manual laborer

510-550 - employee

610-640 – civil service

In PGSTIB, non-working persons are only assigned to the category (13) “pensioner” if they are recipients of retirement pension or if they are recipients of widow’s pension AND are older than 60 years.

pgmonth – Month of interview

1	[1] January	2782
2	[2] February	4049
3	[3] March	2835
4	[4] April	1268
5	[5] May	1003
6	[6] June	726

7	[7] July	1266
8	[8] August	689
9	[9] September	6792
10	[10] October	10395
11	[11] November	4600
12	[12] December	1992
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	1
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

Month of interview is generated using the answers to the individual questionnaire. Missing answers are filled in using data from the HBRUTTO files.

pgmode - Interview method

100	[100] With Interviewer Assistance	30
110	[110] Oral Interview	2511
120	[120] Written Ques. Interviewer	2038
130	[130] Mix Between With/Without Interviewer	0
131	[131] Written Ques. No Interviewer	306
132	[132] Oral And Written	202
133	[133] Proxy	2
134	[134] With Interpreter	0
135	[135] Exc Interpreter	0
140	[140] CAPI - Wave 0 Onwards	33109
200	[200] Telephone Assistance	0
210	[210] Written, By Mail	181
220	[220] phone interview	0
300	[300] CAWI	18
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	1
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

The interview method is generated via the answers to the questions in the individual questionnaire. Missing answers are filled in from the PBRUTTO files.

pglabgro - Current gross labor income in euros (generated)

? How much did you earn from your work last month? If you had extra income in the last month, e.g.: vacation pay or subsequent payments, please do not account that. But please include overtime payments. If you are self-employed, please estimate your monthly income before and after tax. If possible, please state both: your gross income, which means income before tax and social security deductions and your net income, which means income after tax, social security, and unemployment and health insurance deductions. // My gross income was ___ euros (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2014-a/Q249:PBRUT[2795]*)

? How large was your income in the last month? // My gross income was ... euros (from: [soep-is/soep-is-2014-f/Q249:PBRUT\[2570\]](#))

0	177
1	1
14	1
15	1
20	1
22	1
25	2
30	2
35	1
40	7
48	1
50	14
51	1
55	2
60	9
... (2655 rows omitted)	20053
18000	3
19000	2
19500	1
20000	7
21000	1
22000	3
24000	1
30000	1
50000	1
-1 [-1] No Answer	1063
-2 [-2] Does Not Apply	17023
-3 [-3] Answer Improbable	18
-4 [-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5 [-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6 [-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

The variable PGLABGRO represents the current gross labor income of all SOEP respondents who are employed in each respective wave. The variable contains both generated and imputed values for Sample E (until 2011) & Sample I (until 2010); since then, the variable contains only generated values for all samples. The imputed values are available in the variables PGI1-PGI5LABGRO. Income details are consistently provided in euros for all waves. Item nonresponse is imputed in a twostage procedure: first, with the “Row-and-Column” method of Little und Su (1989) using individual longitudinal data as well as cross-sectional trend data (cf. Joachim R. Frick and Markus M. Grabka (2005): Item-Non-Response on Income Questions in Panel surveys: Incidence, Imputation and the Impact on the Income Distribution. Allgemeines Statistisches Archiv (ASTA) 89, 49-61). Alternatively, if no individual longitudinal information is available, we base the imputation on a regression using different Mincer covariates, also taking into account current net labor income. If both types of income information are lacking, first we impute current net labor income and then current gross labor income. Imputed values are flagged (PGIMPGRO).

pgi1labgro – 1. Imput. Akt. Bruttoerwerbseink.(gen) in Euro [1/5]

-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	10922
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	14520
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

Multiple imputation procedures provide a way to deal with missing values on the variable current net labor income in Euros by using information about determinants of the household income and replacing item-nonresponse with multiply imputed data. Five imputations are available within the \$PGEN datasets: the variables pgi1labnet-pgi5labnet. The imputations were calculated using the method of chained equations predictive mean matching in STATA. The procedures were written by Patrick Royston (see Royston 2004, 2005a, 2005b, 2007, 2009) and Ian White (see White, Daniel and Royston 2010; White, Royston and Wood 2011). Predicted mean matching means that for each missing observation on income, the particular non-missing observation is found whose prediction on observed data is closest. This closest observation is used to impute the missing value. The most important variable for modelling the current net labor income is the gross labor income of the previous year. A complete list of the variables used for modelling is available upon request. The missing observations were assumed to be missing at random. We set the number of imputations $m=5$ and get 5 multiple imputed values for pglabnet. The number of iterations carried out in each prediction model was specified to be 2000. Sample E&I and the supplementary sample S1 were imputed separately.

Analysing multiply imputed data: For analysing multiple imputed data, one does not necessarily need special methods; however, such tools exist and simplify the use of multiply imputed data. Below is given a short overview of some useful tools for various statistical packages. These tools estimate the parameters of a regression model by combining the estimates across the several replicates of imputation. Point estimates from multiple imputations are then the arithmetic mean of the several point estimates obtained from analysis on each imputed data. Standard errors are obtained by combining the average of the squared standard errors of the several (m) estimates with the within-and between-imputation variance.

- STATA provides a built-in functionality called mi.
- Within SAS, PROC MIANALYZE combines the results of analyses on the data sets.
- IVEware is a set of routines that can be launched from SAS or run independently using data from many sources. You can use the IVEware module regress to perform multiple imputation analysis.

Royston, P. 2004. Multiple imputation of missing values. *Stata Journal* 4: 227–241. Royston, P. 2005a. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update. *Stata Journal* 5: 188–201. Royston, P. 2005b. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update of ice. *Stata Journal* 5: 527–536. Royston, P. 2007. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of ice, with an emphasis on interval censoring. *Stata Journal* 7: 445–464. Royston, P. 2009. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of ice, with an emphasis on categorical variables. *Stata Journal* 9: 466–477.

pgi2labgro – 2. Imput. Akt. Bruttoerwerbseink.(gen) in Euro [2/5]

-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	10922

-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	14520
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

Multiple imputation procedures provide a way to deal with missing values on the variable current net labor income in Euros by using information about determinants of the household income and replacing item-nonresponse with multiply imputed data. Five imputations are available within the \$PGEN datasets: the variables `pgi1labnet`-`pgi5labnet`. The imputations were calculated using the method of chained equations predictive mean matching in STATA. The procedures were written by Patrick Royston (see Royston 2004, 2005a, 2005b, 2007, 2009) and Ian White (see White, Daniel and Royston 2010; White, Royston and Wood 2011). Predicted mean matching means that for each missing observation on income, the particular non-missing observation is found whose prediction on observed data is closest. This closest observation is used to impute the missing value. The most important variable for modelling the current net labor income is the gross labor income of the previous year. A complete list of the variables used for modelling is available upon request. The missing observations were assumed to be missing at random. We set the number of imputations $m=5$ and get 5 multiple imputed values for `pglabnet`. The number of iterations carried out in each prediction model was specified to be 2000. Sample E&I and the supplementary sample S1 were imputed separately.

Analysing multiply imputed data: For analysing multiple imputed data, one does not necessarily need special methods; however, such tools exist and simplify the use of multiply imputed data. Below is given a short overview of some useful tools for various statistical packages. These tools estimate the parameters of a regression model by combining the estimates across the several replicates of imputation. Point estimates from multiple imputations are then the arithmetic mean of the several point estimates obtained from analysis on each imputed data. Standard errors are obtained by combining the average of the squared standard errors of the several (m) estimates with the within-and between-imputation variance.

- STATA provides a built-in functionality called `mi`.
- Within SAS, PROC MIANALYZE combines the results of analyses on the data sets.
- IVEware is a set of routines that can be launched from SAS or run independently using data from many sources. You can use the IVEware module `regress` to perform multiple imputation analysis.

Royston, P. 2004. Multiple imputation of missing values. *Stata Journal* 4: 227–241. Royston, P. 2005a. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update. *Stata Journal* 5: 188–201. Royston, P. 2005b. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update of `ice`. *Stata Journal* 5: 527–536. Royston, P. 2007. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of `ice`, with an emphasis on interval censoring. *Stata Journal* 7: 445–464. Royston, P. 2009. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of `ice`, with an emphasis on categorical variables. *Stata Journal* 9: 466–477.

pgi3labgro - 3. Imput. Akt. Bruttoerwerbseink.(gen) in Euro [3/5]

-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	10922
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	14520
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

Multiple imputation procedures provide a way to deal with missing values on the variable current net labor income in Euros by using information about determinants of the household income and replacing item-nonresponse with multiply imputed data. Five imputations are available within the \$PGEN datasets: the variables `pgi1labnet-pgi5labnet`. The imputations were calculated using the method of chained equations predictive mean matching in STATA. The procedures were written by Patrick Royston (see Royston 2004, 2005a, 2005b, 2007, 2009) and Ian White (see White, Daniel and Royston 2010; White, Royston and Wood 2011). Predicted mean matching means that for each missing observation on income, the particular non-missing observation is found whose prediction on observed data is closest. This closest observation is used to impute the missing value. The most important variable for modelling the current net labor income is the gross labor income of the previous year. A complete list of the variables used for modelling is available upon request. The missing observations were assumed to be missing at random. We set the number of imputations $m=5$ and get 5 multiple imputed values for `pglabnet`. The number of iterations carried out in each prediction model was specified to be 2000. Sample E&I and the supplementary sample S1 were imputed separately.

Analysing multiply imputed data: For analysing multiple imputed data, one does not necessarily need special methods; however, such tools exist and simplify the use of multiply imputed data. Below is given a short overview of some useful tools for various statistical packages. These tools estimate the parameters of a regression model by combining the estimates across the several replicates of imputation. Point estimates from multiple imputations are then the arithmetic mean of the several point estimates obtained from analysis on each imputed data. Standard errors are obtained by combining the average of the squared standard errors of the several (m) estimates with the within-and between-imputation variance.

- STATA provides a built-in functionality called `mi`.
- Within SAS, PROC MIANALYZE combines the results of analyses on the data sets.
- IVEware is a set of routines that can be launched from SAS or run independently using data from many sources. You can use the IVEware module `regress` to perform multiple imputation analysis.

Royston, P. 2004. Multiple imputation of missing values. *Stata Journal* 4: 227–241. Royston, P. 2005a. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update. *Stata Journal* 5: 188–201. Royston, P. 2005b. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update of `ice`. *Stata Journal* 5: 527–536. Royston, P. 2007. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of `ice`, with an emphasis on interval censoring. *Stata Journal* 7: 445–464. Royston, P. 2009. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of `ice`, with an emphasis on categorical variables. *Stata Journal* 9: 466–477.

pgi4labgro - 4. Imput. Akt. Bruttoerwerbseink.(gen) in Euro [4/5]

-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	10922
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	14520
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

Multiple imputation procedures provide a way to deal with missing values on the variable current net labor income in Euros by using information about determinants of the household income and replacing item-nonresponse with multiply imputed data. Five imputations are available within the \$PGEN datasets: the variables `pgi1labnet-pgi5labnet`. The imputa-

tions were calculated using the method of chained equations predictive mean matching in STATA. The procedures were written by Patrick Royston (see Royston 2004, 2005a, 2005b, 2007, 2009) and Ian White (see White, Daniel and Royston 2010; White, Royston and Wood 2011). Predicted mean matching means that for each missing observation on income, the particular non-missing observation is found whose prediction on observed data is closest. This closest observation is used to impute the missing value. The most important variable for modelling the current net labor income is the gross labor income of the previous year. A complete list of the variables used for modelling is available upon request. The missing observations were assumed to be missing at random. We set the number of imputations $m=5$ and get 5 multiple imputed values for pglabnet. The number of iterations carried out in each prediction model was specified to be 2000. Sample E&I and the supplementary sample S1 were imputed separately.

Analysing multiply imputed data: For analysing multiple imputed data, one does not necessarily need special methods; however, such tools exist and simplify the use of multiply imputed data. Below is given a short overview of some useful tools for various statistical packages. These tools estimate the parameters of a regression model by combining the estimates across the several replicates of imputation. Point estimates from multiple imputations are then the arithmetic mean of the several point estimates obtained from analysis on each imputed data. Standard errors are obtained by combining the average of the squared standard errors of the several (m) estimates with the within-and between-imputation variance.

- STATA provides a built-in functionality called mi.
- Within SAS, PROC MIANALYZE combines the results of analyses on the data sets.
- IVEware is a set of routines that can be launched from SAS or run independently using data from many sources. You can use the IVEware module regress to perform multiple imputation analysis.

Royston, P. 2004. Multiple imputation of missing values. *Stata Journal* 4: 227–241. Royston, P. 2005a. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update. *Stata Journal* 5: 188–201. Royston, P. 2005b. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update of ice. *Stata Journal* 5: 527–536. Royston, P. 2007. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of ice, with an emphasis on interval censoring. *Stata Journal* 7: 445–464. Royston, P. 2009. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of ice, with an emphasis on categorical variables. *Stata Journal* 9: 466–477.

pgi5labgro - 5. Imput. Akt. Bruttoerwerbseink.(gen) in Euro [5/5]

-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	10922
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	14520
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

Multiple imputation procedures provide a way to deal with missing values on the variable current net labor income in Euros by using information about determinants of the household income and replacing item-nonresponse with multiply imputed data. Five imputations are available within the \$PGEN datasets: the variables pgi1labnet-pgi5labnet. The imputations were calculated using the method of chained equations predictive mean matching in STATA. The procedures were written by Patrick Royston (see Royston 2004, 2005a, 2005b, 2007, 2009) and Ian White (see White, Daniel and Royston 2010; White, Royston and Wood 2011). Predicted mean matching means that for each missing observation on income, the

particular non-missing observation is found whose prediction on observed data is closest. This closest observation is used to impute the missing value. The most important variable for modelling the current net labor income is the gross labor income of the previous year. A complete list of the variables used for modelling is available upon request. The missing observations were assumed to be missing at random. We set the number of imputations $m=5$ and get 5 multiple imputed values for `pglabnet`. The number of iterations carried out in each prediction model was specified to be 2000. Sample E&I and the supplementary sample S1 were imputed separately.

Analysing multiply imputed data: For analysing multiple imputed data, one does not necessarily need special methods; however, such tools exist and simplify the use of multiply imputed data. Below is given a short overview of some useful tools for various statistical packages. These tools estimate the parameters of a regression model by combining the estimates across the several replicates of imputation. Point estimates from multiple imputations are then the arithmetic mean of the several point estimates obtained from analysis on each imputed data. Standard errors are obtained by combining the average of the squared standard errors of the several (m) estimates with the within-and between-imputation variance.

- STATA provides a built-in functionality called `mi`.
- Within SAS, PROC MIANALYZE combines the results of analyses on the data sets.
- IVEware is a set of routines that can be launched from SAS or run independently using data from many sources. You can use the IVEware module `regress` to perform multiple imputation analysis.

Royston, P. 2004. Multiple imputation of missing values. *Stata Journal* 4: 227–241. Royston, P. 2005a. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update. *Stata Journal* 5: 188–201. Royston, P. 2005b. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update of `ice`. *Stata Journal* 5: 527–536. Royston, P. 2007. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of `ice`, with an emphasis on interval censoring. *Stata Journal* 7: 445–464. Royston, P. 2009. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of `ice`, with an emphasis on categorical variables. *Stata Journal* 9: 466–477.

pgimpgro – Imputation flag for LABGROxx

0	[0] not imputed	18590
1	[1] Imputed	2785
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	17023
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

The variable `PGIMPGRO` designates imputations of item-nonresponse in the variable `PGLABGRO` (current gross labor income).

pglabnet – Current net labor income (generated) in euros

? How much is your earned income from your work last month? If you had extra income in the last month, e.g.: vacation pay or subsequent payments, please do not include these. But please include overtime payments. If you are self-employed, please estimate your monthly income before and after tax. If possible, please state both: your gross income, which means income before tax and social security deductions and your net income, which means income

after tax, social security, unemployment and health insurance deductions. // I earned net ... euros (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q317:PNETT[3173]*)

-1	[-1] No Answer	809
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	17023
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	19
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

The variable PGLABNET represents the current net labor income of all persons in SOEP working in the respective wave. The variable contains both generated and imputed values for Sample E (until 2011) & Sample I (until 2010); since then, the variable contains only generated values for all samples. The imputed values are available in the variables PGI1-PGI5LABNET. Income details are consistently provided in euros for all waves. The imputation of item non-response takes place in a two-stage procedure: first, with the “Row-and-Column” method of Little und Su (1989) using individual longitudinal data as well as cross-sectional trend data (cf. Joachim R. Frick and Markus M. Grabka (2005): Item-Non-Response on Income Questions in Panel surveys: Incidence, Imputation and the Impact on the Income Distribution. Allgemeines Statistisches Archiv (ASTA) 89, 49-61). Alternatively, if no individual longitudinal information is available, we base the imputation on a regression using different Mincer covariates, also taking into account current gross labor income. If both types of income information are lacking, first we impute current gross labor income and then current net labor income. Imputed values are flagged (PGIMPNET).

pgi1labnet – 1. Imput. Akt. Nettoerwerbseink.(gen) in Euro [1/5]

-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	10922
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	14520
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

Multiple imputation procedures provide a way to deal with missing values on the variable current net labor income in Euros by using information about determinants of the household income and replacing item-nonresponse with multiply imputed data. Five imputations are available within the \$PGEN datasets: the variables pgi1labnet-pgi5labnet. The imputations were calculated using the method of chained equations predictive mean matching in STATA. The procedures were written by Patrick Royston (see Royston 2004, 2005a, 2005b, 2007, 2009) and Ian White (see White, Daniel and Royston 2010; White, Royston and Wood 2011). Predicted mean matching means that for each missing observation on income, the particular non-missing observation is found whose prediction on observed data is closest. This closest observation is used to impute the missing value. The most important variable for modelling the current net labor income is the gross labor income of the previous year. A complete list of the variables used for modelling is available upon request. The missing observations were assumed to be missing at random. We set the number of imputations $m=5$ and get 5 multiple imputed values for pglabnet. The number of iterations carried out in each prediction model was specified to be 2000. Sample E&I and the supplementary sample S1 were imputed separately.

Analysing multiply imputed data: For analysing multiple imputed data, one does not necessarily need special methods; however, such tools exist and simplify the use of multiply imputed data. Below is given a short overview of some useful tools for various statistical packages. These tools estimate the parameters of a regression model by combining the estimates across the several replicates of imputation. Point estimates from multiple imputations are then the arithmetic mean of the several point estimates obtained from analysis on each imputed data. Standard errors are obtained by combining the average of the squared standard errors of the several (m) estimates with the within-and between-imputation variance.

- STATA provides a built-in functionality called `mi`.
- Within SAS, PROC MIANALYZE combines the results of analyses on the data sets.
- IVEware is a set of routines that can be launched from SAS or run independently using data from many sources. You can use the IVEware module `regress` to perform multiple imputation analysis.

Royston, P. 2004. Multiple imputation of missing values. *Stata Journal* 4: 227–241. Royston, P. 2005a. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update. *Stata Journal* 5: 188–201. Royston, P. 2005b. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update of `ice`. *Stata Journal* 5: 527–536. Royston, P. 2007. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of `ice`, with an emphasis on interval censoring. *Stata Journal* 7: 445–464. Royston, P. 2009. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of `ice`, with an emphasis on categorical variables. *Stata Journal* 9: 466–477.

[pgi2labnet](#) – 2. Imput. Akt. Nettoerwerbseink.(gen) in Euro [2/5]

-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	10922
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	14520
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

Multiple imputation procedures provide a way to deal with missing values on the variable current net labor income in Euros by using information about determinants of the household income and replacing item-nonresponse with multiply imputed data. Five imputations are available within the \$PGEN datasets: the variables `pgi1labnet`-`pgi5labnet`. The imputations were calculated using the method of chained equations predictive mean matching in STATA. The procedures were written by Patrick Royston (see Royston 2004, 2005a, 2005b, 2007, 2009) and Ian White (see White, Daniel and Royston 2010; White, Royston and Wood 2011). Predicted mean matching means that for each missing observation on income, the particular non-missing observation is found whose prediction on observed data is closest. This closest observation is used to impute the missing value. The most important variable for modelling the current net labor income is the gross labor income of the previous year. A complete list of the variables used for modelling is available upon request. The missing observations were assumed to be missing at random. We set the number of imputations $m=5$ and get 5 multiple imputed values for `pgenlabnet`. The number of iterations carried out in each prediction model was specified to be 2000. Sample E&I and the supplementary sample S1 were imputed separately.

Analysing multiply imputed data: For analysing multiple imputed data, one does not necessarily need special methods; however, such tools exist and simplify the use of multiply imputed data. Below is given a short overview of some useful tools for various statistical packages. These tools estimate the parameters of a regression model by combining the esti-

mates across the several replicates of imputation. Point estimates from multiple imputations are then the arithmetic mean of the several point estimates obtained from analysis on each imputed data. Standard errors are obtained by combining the average of the squared standard errors of the several (m) estimates with the within-and between-imputation variance.

- STATA provides a built-in functionality called `mi`.
- Within SAS, PROC MIANALYZE combines the results of analyses on the data sets.
- IVEware is a set of routines that can be launched from SAS or run independently using data from many sources. You can use the IVEware module `regress` to perform multiple imputation analysis.

Royston, P. 2004. Multiple imputation of missing values. *Stata Journal* 4: 227–241. Royston, P. 2005a. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update. *Stata Journal* 5: 188–201. Royston, P. 2005b. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update of `ice`. *Stata Journal* 5: 527–536. Royston, P. 2007. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of `ice`, with an emphasis on interval censoring. *Stata Journal* 7: 445–464. Royston, P. 2009. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of `ice`, with an emphasis on categorical variables. *Stata Journal* 9: 466–477.

pgi3labnet – 3. Imput. Akt. Nettoerwerbseink.(gen) in Euro [3/5]

-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	10922
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	14520
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

Multiple imputation procedures provide a way to deal with missing values on the variable current net labor income in Euros by using information about determinants of the household income and replacing item-nonresponse with multiply imputed data. Five imputations are available within the \$PGEN datasets: the variables `pgi1labnet`-`pgi5labnet`. The imputations were calculated using the method of chained equations predictive mean matching in STATA. The procedures were written by Patrick Royston (see Royston 2004, 2005a, 2005b, 2007, 2009) and Ian White (see White, Daniel and Royston 2010; White, Royston and Wood 2011). Predicted mean matching means that for each missing observation on income, the particular non-missing observation is found whose prediction on observed data is closest. This closest observation is used to impute the missing value. The most important variable for modelling the current net labor income is the gross labor income of the previous year. A complete list of the variables used for modelling is available upon request. The missing observations were assumed to be missing at random. We set the number of imputations $m=5$ and get 5 multiple imputed values for `pglabnet`. The number of iterations carried out in each prediction model was specified to be 2000. Sample E&I and the supplementary sample S1 were imputed separately.

Analysing multiply imputed data: For analysing multiple imputed data, one does not necessarily need special methods; however, such tools exist and simplify the use of multiply imputed data. Below is given a short overview of some useful tools for various statistical packages. These tools estimate the parameters of a regression model by combining the estimates across the several replicates of imputation. Point estimates from multiple imputations are then the arithmetic mean of the several point estimates obtained from analysis on each imputed data. Standard errors are obtained by combining the average of the squared standard errors of the several (m) estimates with the within-and between-imputation variance.

- STATA provides a built-in functionality called `mi`.
- Within SAS, PROC MIANALYZE combines the results of analyses on the data sets.
- IVEware is a set of routines that can be launched from SAS or run independently using data from many sources. You can use the IVEware module `regress` to perform multiple imputation analysis.

Royston, P. 2004. Multiple imputation of missing values. *Stata Journal* 4: 227–241. Royston, P. 2005a. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update. *Stata Journal* 5: 188–201. Royston, P. 2005b. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update of `ice`. *Stata Journal* 5: 527–536. Royston, P. 2007. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of `ice`, with an emphasis on interval censoring. *Stata Journal* 7: 445–464. Royston, P. 2009. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of `ice`, with an emphasis on categorical variables. *Stata Journal* 9: 466–477.

pgi4labnet – 4. Imput. Akt. Nettoerwerbseink.(gen) in Euro [4/5]

-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	10922
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	14520
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

Multiple imputation procedures provide a way to deal with missing values on the variable current net labor income in Euros by using information about determinants of the household income and replacing item-nonresponse with multiply imputed data. Five imputations are available within the \$PGEN datasets: the variables `pgi1labnet`–`pgi5labnet`. The imputations were calculated using the method of chained equations predictive mean matching in STATA. The procedures were written by Patrick Royston (see Royston 2004, 2005a, 2005b, 2007, 2009) and Ian White (see White, Daniel and Royston 2010; White, Royston and Wood 2011). Predicted mean matching means that for each missing observation on income, the particular non-missing observation is found whose prediction on observed data is closest. This closest observation is used to impute the missing value. The most important variable for modelling the current net labor income is the gross labor income of the previous year. A complete list of the variables used for modelling is available upon request. The missing observations were assumed to be missing at random. We set the number of imputations $m=5$ and get 5 multiple imputed values for `pglabnet`. The number of iterations carried out in each prediction model was specified to be 2000. Sample E&I and the supplementary sample S1 were imputed separately.

Analysing multiply imputed data: For analysing multiple imputed data, one does not necessarily need special methods; however, such tools exist and simplify the use of multiply imputed data. Below is given a short overview of some useful tools for various statistical packages. These tools estimate the parameters of a regression model by combining the estimates across the several replicates of imputation. Point estimates from multiple imputations are then the arithmetic mean of the several point estimates obtained from analysis on each imputed data. Standard errors are obtained by combining the average of the squared standard errors of the several (m) estimates with the within-and between-imputation variance.

- STATA provides a built-in functionality called `mi`.
- Within SAS, PROC MIANALYZE combines the results of analyses on the data sets.
- IVEware is a set of routines that can be launched from SAS or run independently using data from many sources. You can use the IVEware module `regress` to perform multiple

imputation analysis.

Royston, P. 2004. Multiple imputation of missing values. *Stata Journal* 4: 227–241. Royston, P. 2005a. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update. *Stata Journal* 5: 188–201. Royston, P. 2005b. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update of ice. *Stata Journal* 5: 527–536. Royston, P. 2007. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of ice, with an emphasis on interval censoring. *Stata Journal* 7: 445–464. Royston, P. 2009. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of ice, with an emphasis on categorical variables. *Stata Journal* 9: 466–477.

pgi5labnet – 5. Imput. Akt. Nettoerwerbseink.(gen) in Euro [5/5]

-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	10922
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	14520
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

Multiple imputation procedures provide a way to deal with missing values on the variable current net labor income in Euros by using information about determinants of the household income and replacing item-nonresponse with multiply imputed data. Five imputations are available within the \$PGEN datasets: the variables pgi1labnet-pgi5labnet. The imputations were calculated using the method of chained equations predictive mean matching in STATA. The procedures were written by Patrick Royston (see Royston 2004, 2005a, 2005b, 2007, 2009) and Ian White (see White, Daniel and Royston 2010; White, Royston and Wood 2011). Predicted mean matching means that for each missing observation on income, the particular non-missing observation is found whose prediction on observed data is closest. This closest observation is used to impute the missing value. The most important variable for modelling the current net labor income is the gross labor income of the previous year. A complete list of the variables used for modelling is available upon request. The missing observations were assumed to be missing at random. We set the number of imputations $m=5$ and get 5 multiple imputed values for pglabnet. The number of iterations carried out in each prediction model was specified to be 2000. Sample E&I and the supplementary sample S1 were imputed separately.

Analysing multiply imputed data: For analysing multiple imputed data, one does not necessarily need special methods; however, such tools exist and simplify the use of multiply imputed data. Below is given a short overview of some useful tools for various statistical packages. These tools estimate the parameters of a regression model by combining the estimates across the several replicates of imputation. Point estimates from multiple imputations are then the arithmetic mean of the several point estimates obtained from analysis on each imputed data. Standard errors are obtained by combining the average of the squared standard errors of the several (m) estimates with the within-and between-imputation variance.

- STATA provides a built-in functionality called mi.
- Within SAS, PROC MIANALYZE combines the results of analyses on the data sets.
- IVEware is a set of routines that can be launched from SAS or run independently using data from many sources. You can use the IVEware module regress to perform multiple imputation analysis.

Royston, P. 2004. Multiple imputation of missing values. *Stata Journal* 4: 227–241. Royston, P. 2005a. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update. *Stata Journal* 5: 188–201. Royston, P. 2005b. Multiple imputation of missing values: Update of ice. *Stata Journal* 5: 527–536.

Royston, P. 2007. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of ice, with an emphasis on interval censoring. *Stata Journal* 7: 445–464. Royston, P. 2009. Multiple imputation of missing values: Further update of ice, with an emphasis on categorical variables. *Stata Journal* 9: 466–477.

pgimpnet - Imputation flag for LABNETxx

0	[0] not imputed	19233
1	[1] Imputed	2142
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	17023
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

The variable PGIMPNET designates imputations of item-nonresponse in the variable PGLABNET (current net labor income).

pgallbet - Core size category of the company

1	[1] Less Than 20	4415
2	[2] 20 Up To 200	5383
3	[3] 200 Up To 2000	3753
4	[4] 2000 And More	4506
5	[5] Self-Employed Without Coworkers	0
-1	[-1] No Answer	1129
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	19206
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	6
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable is designed to provide annual data on the core size category of the company for all employed persons.

Not all employed persons are asked the relevant input questions on an annual basis. Only those employed persons who changed jobs and first-time respondents were asked to provide up-to-date information.

Self-employed are not included in this variable. Information about the company size is included in the variable pgstib.

pgemplst - Employment status

? Are you currently employed? Which one of the following applies best to your status? (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q271;perw[3133]*)

1	[1] Full-Time Employment	13781
2	[2] Regular Part-Time	4555
3	[3] Vocational Training	806
4	[4] Marginal, Irregular Part-Time Employment	2114

5	[5] Not Employed	17072
6	[6] Sheltered workshop	69
-1	[-1] No Answer	1
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable is generated from the annual question on current employment status, which has a central filter function in the questionnaire to separate employed people from non-employed people for further questions. It is designed to provide consistent longitudinal data on employment status across all waves.

The category “not employed” comprises non-working individuals, those in military/community service, those on maternity leave, and employed persons in a phased retirement scheme (Altersteilzeit) whose current actual working hours are zero.

PGEMPLST supplements the variable PGLFS, which differentiates among persons who are not employed.

pgexpft – Working experience full-time employment

0		1675
0.1		18
0.2		28
0.3		49
0.4		20
0.5		196
0.6		21
0.7		20
0.8		36
0.9		36
1		144
1.1		20
1.2		22
1.3		22
1.4		26
...	(448 rows omitted)	12106
47.4		1
47.8		11
48		11
48.1		2
48.2		1
48.3		1
48.6		11
49.3		2
50		6
-1	[-1] No Answer	840
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0

-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	23073
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable reflects the total length of full-time employment in the respondent's career. The variable is created by combining monthly information on employment status from the calendar dataset ARTKALEN (which provides monthly information on activity status since an individual entered the SOEP) and annual information from the biographical dataset PBIOSPE (which provides information on activity status over the individual's life course). PGEXPFT uses calendar information up to December of the previous year and gives the length of time in years with months in decimal form.

If there is no monthly calendar data available in a given year of a respondent's career, the annual data from PBIOSPE is used for that year. In the most current wave the variable only uses up-to-date information from the newly answered Biography Questionnaires. If the year in which a spell started and ended is the same, and if there is no monthly data, a spell of 0.5 years is assumed.

Persons whose life course has been observed completely but with no spell of full-time employment are assigned the code (0). The code (-1) is assigned to all persons whose life course has not been observed completely. Persons with inconsistent information receive a (-3).

Because detailed information on the activity status of the respondents is not assessed in the Questionnaire of the SOEP Innovation Sample, PGEXPFT is not generated for Sample E (since 2012), I (since 2011) and the supplementary samples (since 2012). For this purpose, PGEXPFT is coded to "-5" (not contained in questionnaire).

Please also see PGEXPPT and PGEXPUE.

pgexpft - Working experience part-time employment

0	9031
0.1	70
0.2	117
0.3	112
0.4	76
0.5	249
0.6	67
0.7	67
0.8	91
0.9	28
1	436
1.1	57
1.2	62
1.3	97
1.4	37
... (276 rows omitted)	3862
38	4
38.2	1
39.2	1
40	14
40.2	1
41.3	1
42	2
42.2	1

43		1
-1	[-1] No Answer	840
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	23073
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable reflects the total length of part-time employment in the respondent's career. The variable is created by combining monthly information on employment status from the calendar dataset ARTKALEN (which provides monthly information on activity status since an individual entered the SOEP) and annual information from the biographical dataset PBIOSPE (which provides information on activity status over the life course of an individual). PGEXPPT uses calendar information up to December of the previous year and gives the length of time in years with months in decimal form.

If there is no monthly calendar data available in a given year of a respondent's career, the annual data from PBIOSPE is used for that year. In the most current wave the variable only uses up-to-date information from the newly answered Biography Questionnaires. If the year in which a spell started and ended is the same, and if there is no monthly data, a spell of 0.5 years is assumed.

Persons whose life course has been observed completely but with no spell of full-time employment are assigned the code (0). The code (-1) is assigned to all persons whose life course has not been observed completely. Persons with inconsistent information receive a (-3).

Because detailed information on the activity status of the respondents is not assessed in the Questionnaire of the SOEP Innovation Sample, PGEXPPT is not generated for Sample E (since 2012), I (since 2011) and the supplementary samples (since 2012). For this purpose, PGEXPPT is coded to "-5" (not contained in questionnaire).

Please also see PGEXPFT and PGEXPUE.

pgexpue - Unemployment experience

0	10635
0.1	149
0.2	123
0.3	173
0.4	83
0.5	531
0.6	72
0.7	91
0.8	128
0.9	66
1	409
1.1	103
1.2	39
1.3	59
1.4	102
... (146 rows omitted)	1712
22.5	1
23	1
23.5	1

24		1
24.5		1
25.3		1
26.4		2
32		1
33		1
-1	[-1] No Answer	840
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	23073
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable reflects the total length of unemployment in the respondent's career. The variable is created by combining monthly information on employment status from the calendar dataset ARTKALEN (which provides monthly information on activity status since an individual entered the SOEP) and annual information from the biographical dataset PBIOSPE (which provides information on activity status over the life course of an individual). PGEXPUE uses calendar information up to December of the previous year and gives the length of time in years with months in decimal form.

If there is no monthly calendar data available on a given year in a respondent's career, the annual data from PBIOSPE is used for that year. In the most current wave the variable only uses up-to-date information from the newly answered Biography Questionnaires. If the year in which a spell started and ended is the same, and if there is no monthly data, a spell of 0.5 years is assumed.

Persons whose life course has been observed completely but with no spell of full-time employment are assigned the code (0). The code (-1) is assigned to all persons whose life course has not been observed completely. Persons with inconsistent information receive a (-3).

Because detailed information on the activity status of the respondents is not assessed in the Questionnaire of the SOEP Innovation Sample, PGEXPUE is not generated for Sample E (since 2012), I (since 2011) and the supplementary samples (since 2012). For this purpose, PGEXPUE is coded to "-5" (not contained in questionnaire).

Please also see PGEXPFT.

pgjobch – Occupational Change

1	[1] Not Employed	16914
2	[2] Employed No Change	14570
3	[3] Employed No Info If Change	2685
4	[4] Employed With Change	3167
5	[5] First Job	426
-1	[-1] No Answer	636
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	0
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

This variable indicates a change of job since the previous interview for respondents with a follow-up interview, whereas for first-time respondents, the information refers to a change

of job since the beginning of the previous year.

PGJOBCH is generated based on the central filter variable, which indicates whether a respondent has changed jobs since the beginning of the previous year. Information on the date of job change is then combined with interview month of the previous year's interview to identify whether a new job change has taken place since the previous interview.

Hence, PGJOBCH is a modified version of the variable PGERWTYP which indicates whether a respondent has changed jobs since the beginning of the previous year. Unlike PGERWTYP, the variable is calculated for all waves, and the codes are assigned independently of the respondent being a first-time or follow-up respondent.

In addition to PGERWTYP, the variable is also designed to identify respondents who have entered employment for the first time.

In addition to PGERWTYP, the variable is designed to provide consistent longitudinal information on job changes. The PGJOBCH variable is generated by correcting the original job change information in various ways:

1. We check whether the job changes stated by a respondent in two consecutive interviews refer to one and the same job change. The date of the job change and the interview month are used to correct double entries.
2. If the respondent indicates a job change with a date before the previous interview but did not state a job change in the previous interview, this is coded as a job change in the current interview.
3. If a respondent indicates no job change and was not employed at the time of the previous interview, this is coded as "no job change" despite the seeming implausibility, since there are possible explanations how this information could be plausible, e.g. if there were short-term employment spells between two interview dates.
4. Respondents can be "first-time employed" only once. If a respondent states being "first-time employed" for a second time, this is coded as "employed, with change".

pgfield - Field of tertiary education

1	[1] Applied Linguistics and Cultural Studies	0
2	[2] Protestant Theology	3
3	[3] Catholic Theology	0
4	[4] Philosophy	0
5	[5] History	2
6	[6] Library Science, Archival Studies, Journalism	12
7	[7] Literary Studies, Linguistics	4
8	[8] Classical Philology, Modern Greek	0
9	[9] German Philology	12
10	[10] English Studies	12
11	[11] Roman Studies	0
12	[12] Slavonic Studies	0
13	[13] Non-European Languages and Cultural Studies	0
14	[14] Cultural Studies	2
15	[15] Psychology	5
...	(36 rows omitted)	537
68	[68] Civil Engineering	19
69	[69] Surveying and Mapping	0

74	[74] Art, Aesthetics	0
75	[75] Fine Arts	0
76	[76] Design	0
77	[77] Performance, Film and Television, Theater	0
78	[78] Music, Musicology	7
83	[83] Outside the structure of the university system	0
98	[98] Not categorizable	57
-1	[-1] No Answer	70
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	14582
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	1
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	23073
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

The variable is designed to provide information on the field of education of tertiary degrees which adds details to the information recorded in the variable PGBBIL02. While the latter variable records if a person holds a degree PGFIELD contains more detailed information on the type of the degree. The data of the generated variable PGFIELD stem from two sources: 1. Person questionnaire: Each year since 1985 respondents are asked if they have left education since the beginning of the year prior to the survey and which degrees they have obtained. This part of the questionnaire contains an open question on the type and the field of newly obtained tertiary degrees. This information is coded and used for the generation of the variables PGFIELD. 2. Biography questionnaire: Since 2001 similar information is collected from respondents who fill in the biography questionnaire (usually during the first two years of participation in the panel). In contrast to the information from the person questionnaire the questions do not refer to currently obtained degrees but to degrees obtained during the time before being part of the SOEP sample.

In the variable PGFIELD we combine these two types of information. Each year the variable contains the most recently collected information.

If you want to take into account that a person holds two degrees you have to combine the information from all available years. However, only a minority of the population holds more than one tertiary degree. In very few cases we encounter the problem that a respondent provides information on two different degrees in one survey year. This only happens in years when respondents fill in the person as well as the biography questionnaire. In these cases we prioritize the information from the person questionnaire as it refers to the current situation while the biography questionnaire contains retrospective information. Furthermore, there are cases who report an applied university degree and a university degree in the biography questionnaire. In these cases, the variable contains information on the university degree only.

The variable is coded according to the classification on fields of education (“Fächergruppen”) provided by the Statistisches Bundesamt (2009). Until 2009 data from the person questionnaire were coded using an earlier version of this classification (1982). In the variable PGFIELD we recoded the original values. As the newer version is more precise this could be done with hardly any loss of information. Some categories are collapsed. Category 3 is coded as 2 (no distinction between catholic and protestant theology), 14 as 13, 17 as 16, 24 as 23, 25 as 26 and 48 as 49.

Because detailed information on the field of tertiary education is not assessed in the Questionnaire of the SOEP Innovation Sample, PGFIELD is not generated for Sample E (since 2012), I (since 2011) and the supplementary samples (since 2012). For this purpose, PGFIELD is coded to “-5” (not contained in questionnaire).

Stat. Bundesamt (2009): *Bildung und Kultur. Studierende an Hochschulen, Fachserie 11 Reihe 4.1, Wiesbaden: 446ff, Übersicht 1: „Fächergruppen, Studienbereiche und Studienfächer“.*

pgdegree – Type of tertiary degree

11	[11] Magister	12
12	[12] Diplom (University)	164
13	[13] Bachelor	6
14	[14] Master	2
15	[15] 1st State Examination	13
16	[16] Other state examination	14
21	[21] Diplom (at technical college, technical college for administration)	184
22	[22] Bachelor (at technical college, technical college for administration)	8
23	[23] Master (at technical college, technical college for administration)	1
31	[31] Teacher training, BA, MA at elementary, lower secondary schools/primary level	36
32	[32] Teacher training, BA, MA at 2ndary level 1/elementary schools/primary level	0
33	[33] Teacher training, BA, MA at intermediate scndry schools/scndry level I	5
34	[34] Teacher training, BA, MA at secondary level II and I	0
35	[35] Teacher training, BA, MA at academic 2ndry schools, 2ndry levl 2, genrl school	15
36	[36] Teacher training, BA, MA at special needs schools	3
37	[37] Teacher training, BA, MA at vocational schools	5
38	[38] Teacher training, other	28
41	[41] Academic degree in the arts	1
42	[42] Doctorate	19
43	[43] Post-doctoral dissertation (Habilitation)	0
44	[44] Other Degree	8
98	[98] Not categorizable	98
-1	[-1] No Answer	120
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	14582
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	1
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	23073
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

The variable is designed to provide information on the type of tertiary degree (e.g., Diploma, Bachelor, Master) which adds details to the information recorded in the variable PGBBIL02. While the latter variable records if a person holds a degree PGDEGREE contains more detailed information on the type of the degree. The data of the generated variable PGDEGREE stem from two sources: 1. Person questionnaire: Each year since 1985 respondents are asked if they have left education since the beginning of the year prior to the survey and which degrees they have obtained. This part of the questionnaire contains an open question on the type and the field of newly obtained tertiary degrees. This information is coded and used for the generation of the variables PGDEGREE. 2. Biography questionnaire: Since 2001 similar information is collected from respondents who fill in the biography questionnaire (usually during the first two years of participation in the panel). In contrast to the information from the person questionnaire the questions do not refer to currently obtained degrees but to degrees obtained during the time before being part of the SOEP sample.

In the variable PGDEGREE we combine these two types of information. However, since the retrospective information was not collected before 2001 the variable covers until 2000 only persons for whom we have prospectively observed the end of study. This explains why the

number of valid observations is rather small in these years.

Each year the variable contains the most recently collected information. If you want to take into account that a person holds two degrees you have to combine the information from all available years. However, only a minority of the population holds more than one tertiary degree. In very few cases we encounter the problem that a respondent provides information on two different degrees in one survey year. This only happens in years when respondents fill in the person as well as the biography questionnaire. In these cases we prioritize the information from the person questionnaire as it refers to the current situation while the biography questionnaire contains retrospective information. Furthermore, there are cases who report an applied university degree and a university degree in the biography questionnaire. In these cases, the variables contain information on the university degree only.

The variable is coded according to a slightly collapsed version of the classification on types of tertiary degrees (“Prüfungsgruppen und Abschlussprüfungen”) provided by the Statistisches Bundesamt (2009). Since 2010 the data were coded according to the classification presented here. In the variable PGDEGREE we recoded the original values from years 2009 and earlier. As the newer version is more precise this could be done with hardly any loss of information. Some categories are collapsed. Category 16 was mostly likely coded as 15 in earlier years, 34 as 35 and 43 as 44. The original values of the data collected from the person questionnaire of 2009 are stored in the respective variables in the dataset P.

Because detailed information on the type of tertiary degree is not assessed in the Questionnaire of the SOEP Innovation Sample, PGDEGREE is not generated for Sample E (since 2012), I (since 2011) and the supplementary samples (since 2012). For this purpose, PGDEGREE is coded to “-5” (not contained in questionnaire).

Stat. Bundesamt (2009): Bildung und Kultur. Studierende an Hochschulen, Fachserie 11 Reihe 4.1, Wiesbaden: 449ff, Übersicht 2: „Prüfungsgruppen und Abschlussprüfungen“.

pgtraina - Apprenticeship - two-digit occupation KldB92

1	[1] Agricultural Occupations (Crops)	21
2	[2] Agricultural Occupations (Livestock)	15
3	[3] Administrative/Advisory/Technical Specialist In Agriculture	1
5	[5] Horticultural Occupations	19
6	[6] Forestry and Hunting Occupations	0
7	[7] Mineworkers	3
8	[8] Mineral Exploitation and Processing	1
10	[10] Stonemasons	0
11	[11] Manufacturers of Construction Materials	0
12	[12] Ceramicists	0
13	[13] Glass Manufacturing Occupations	1
14	[14] Chemical Industry Occupations	4
15	[15] Plastics Manufacturing Occupations	1
16	[16] Paper Manufacturing and Processing	3
17	[17] Printing Occupations	14
...	(65 rows omitted)	1516
89	[89] Pastoral Occupations	0
90	[90] Personal Care Occupations	46
91	[91] Occupations in Hotels and Hospitality	15
92	[92] Occupations in Domestic and Nutritional Science	16
93	[93] Cleaning and Waste Management Occupations	0
96	[96] Other	0

97	[97] Family members providing assistance,not in agriculture,not otherw. mntnd	0
98	[98] Workers, (still) without specific occupation	0
99	[99] Workers, responsibilities not specified	11
-1	[-1] No Answer	13
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	13625
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	23073
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

The variable is designed to provide information on the occupation of vocational training which adds details to the information recorded in the variable PGBBIL01. In addition to the variable PGTRAINA we provide the variables PGTRAINB, PGTRAINC and PGTRAIND. All these variables record the occupation of vocational training. The difference is that PGTRAINA contains information on vocational training within the German dual system which combines firm-based and school-based training (apprenticeship). PGTRAINB is designed to provide information on the occupation of full-time school based vocational training. PGTRAINC contains information on level vocational training (e.g., Meister, Techniker). PGTRAIND is designed to provide information on the occupation of civil servant training (“Beamtenausbildung”). We describe in brief detail the construction of the variable PGTRAINA. PGTRAINB, PGTRAINC and PGTRAIND are constructed in an analogous manner.

The data of the generated variable PGTRAINA stem from two sources: 1. Person questionnaire: Each year since 1985 respondents are asked if they have left education since the beginning of the year prior to the survey and which degrees they have obtained. This part of the questionnaire contains an open question on the type and the field of newly obtained tertiary degrees. This information is coded and used for the generation of the variables PGTRAINA. 2. Biography questionnaire: Similar information is collected from respondents who fill in the biography questionnaire (usually during the first two years of participation in the panel). In contrast to the information from the person questionnaire the questions do not refer to currently obtained vocational qualifications but to qualifications obtained during the time before being part of the SOEP sample.

In the variable PGTRAINA we combine these two types of information. Each year the variable contains the most recently collected information.

If you want to take into account that a person holds two vocational qualifications you have to combine the information from all available years. In few cases we encounter the problem that a respondent provides information on two different apprenticeships in one survey year. This only happens once, namely in years when respondents fill in the person as well as the biography questionnaire. In these cases we prioritize the information from the person questionnaire as it refers to the current situation while the biography questionnaire contains retrospective information.

Because detailed information on the specific fields of occupation is not assessed in the Questionnaire of the SOEP Innovation Sample, PGTRAINA is not generated for Sample E (since 2012), I (since 2011) and the supplementary samples (since 2012). For this purpose, PGTRAINA is coded to “-5” (not contained in questionnaire).

Hartmann/Schütz (2002): Die Klassifikation der Berufe und der Wirtschaftszweige im Sozio-ökonomischen Panel – Neuvercodung der Daten 1984–2001. Infratest Sozialforschung, München.

pgtrainb – Vocational school – two-digit occupation KldB92

1	[1] Agricultural Occupations (Crops)	4
---	--------------------------------------	---

2	[2] Agricultural Occupations (Livestock)	0
3	[3] Administrative/Advisory/Technical Specialist In Agriculture	0
5	[5] Horticultural Occupations	0
6	[6] Forestry and Hunting Occupations	1
7	[7] Mineworkers	0
8	[8] Mineral Exploitation and Processing	0
10	[10] Stonemasons	0
11	[11] Manufacturers of Construction Materials	0
12	[12] Ceramicists	0
13	[13] Glass Manufacturing Occupations	0
14	[14] Chemical Industry Occupations	0
15	[15] Plastics Manufacturing Occupations	0
16	[16] Paper Manufacturing and Processing	0
17	[17] Printing Occupations	1
...	(65 rows omitted)	248
89	[89] Pastoral Occupations	0
90	[90] Personal Care Occupations	2
91	[91] Occupations in Hotels and Hospitality	1
92	[92] Occupations in Domestic and Nutritional Science	7
93	[93] Cleaning and Waste Management Occupations	0
96	[96] Other	0
97	[97] Family members providing assistance,not in agriculture,not otherw. mntnd	0
98	[98] Workers, (still) without specific occupation	0
99	[99] Workers, responsibilities not specified	0
-1	[-1] No Answer	1
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	14334
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	2
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	23797
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

The variable is designed to provide information on the occupation of full-time school based vocational training (e.g., Berufsfachschule, Schule des Gesundheitswesens, Handelsschule). See the description of variable PGTRAINA for more details on the construction and the values of the variable.

Because detailed information on the specific fields of occupation is not assessed in the Questionnaire of the SOEP Innovation Sample, PGTRAINB is not generated for Sample E (since 2012), I (since 2011) and the supplementary samples (since 2012). For this purpose, PGTRAINB is coded to “-5” (not contained in questionnaire).

pgtrainc - Higher vocational school - two-digit occupation KldB92

1	[1] Agricultural Occupations (Crops)	3
2	[2] Agricultural Occupations (Livestock)	2
3	[3] Administrative/Advisory/Technical Specialist In Agriculture	3
5	[5] Horticultural Occupations	1
6	[6] Forestry and Hunting Occupations	1
7	[7] Mineworkers	0
8	[8] Mineral Exploitation and Processing	0
10	[10] Stonemasons	0

11	[11] Manufacturers of Construction Materials	0
12	[12] Ceramicists	0
13	[13] Glass Manufacturing Occupations	0
14	[14] Chemical Industry Occupations	0
15	[15] Plastics Manufacturing Occupations	0
16	[16] Paper Manufacturing and Processing	0
17	[17] Printing Occupations	1
...	(65 rows omitted)	159
89	[89] Pastoral Occupations	0
90	[90] Personal Care Occupations	14
91	[91] Occupations in Hotels and Hospitality	0
92	[92] Occupations in Domestic and Nutritional Science	2
93	[93] Cleaning and Waste Management Occupations	0
96	[96] Others	0
97	[97] Family members providing assistance,not in agriculture,not otherw. mntnd	0
98	[98] Workers, (still) without specific occupation	0
99	[99] Workers, responsibilities not specified	0
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	14415
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	23797
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

The variable is designed to provide information on the occupation of higher level vocational training (e.g., Meister, Techniker). See the description of variable PGTRAINA for more details on the construction and the values of the variable.

Because detailed information on the specific fields of occupation is not assessed in the Questionnaire of the SOEP Innovation Sample, PGTRAINC is not generated for Sample E (since 2012), I (since 2011) and the supplementary samples (since 2012). For this purpose, PGTRAINC is coded to “-5” (not contained in questionnaire).

pgtraind - Civil servant training - two-digit occupation KldB92

1	[1] Agricultural Occupations (Crops)	0
2	[2] Agricultural Occupations (Livestock)	0
3	[3] Administrative/Advisory/Technical Specialist In Agriculture	0
5	[5] Horticultural Occupations	0
6	[6] Forestry and Hunting Occupations	2
7	[7] Mineworkers	0
8	[8] Mineral Exploitation and Processing	0
10	[10] Stonemasons	0
11	[11] Manufacturers of Construction Materials	0
12	[12] Ceramicists	0
13	[13] Glass Manufacturing Occupations	0
14	[14] Chemical Industry Occupations	0
15	[15] Plastics Manufacturing Occupations	0
16	[16] Paper Manufacturing and Processing	0
17	[17] Printing Occupations	0
...	(65 rows omitted)	97

89	[89] Pastoral Occupations	0
90	[90] Personal Care Occupations	0
91	[91] Occupations in Hotels and Hospitality	0
92	[92] Occupations in Domestic and Nutritional Science	0
93	[93] Cleaning and Waste Management Occupations	0
96	[96] Other	0
97	[97] Family members providing assistance,not in agriculture,not otherw. mntnd	0
98	[98] Workers, (still) without specific occupation	0
99	[99] Workers, responsibilities not specified	0
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	11449
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	2
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	26848
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

The variable is designed to provide information on the occupation of civil servant training (“Beamtenausbildung”). See the description of variable PGTRAINA for more details on the construction and the values of the variable.

Because detailed information on the specific fields of occupation is not assessed in the Questionnaire of the SOEP Innovation Sample, PGTRAIND is not generated for Sample E (since 2012), I (since 2011) and the supplementary samples (since 2012). For this purpose, PGTRAIND is coded to “-5” (not contained in questionnaire).

pgfdt_f – Data source FIELD, DEGREE, TRAIN

1	[1] Individual Questionnaire	915
2	[2] Gap Questionnaire (temporary drop-outs)	0
3	[3] Biographical Questionnaire	1778
4	[4] Various Sources	26
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	12606
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	23073
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

Because detailed information on PGFIELD, PGDEGREE and PGTRAIN is not assessed in the Questionnaire of the SOEP Innovation Sample, PGFDT_F is not generated for Sample E (since 2012), I (since 2011) and the supplementary samples (since 2012). For this purpose, PGFDT_F is coded to “-5” (not contained in questionnaire).

pgbiltzch – Change in Education since last survey / last year

0	[0] Consistent educational information since last survey	10331
1	[1] Inconsistent educational information since last survey	322
2	[2] Inconsistent educational information since last year	441
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	3507
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0

-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	23797
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

Because detailed information on PGFIELD, PGDEGREE and PGTRAIN is not assessed in the Questionnaire of the SOEP Innovation Sample, PGBILZTCH is not generated for Sample E (since 2012), I (since 2011) and the supplementary samples (since 2012). For this purpose, PGBILZTCH is coded to “-5” (not contained in questionnaire).

pgbilztev – Change in Education, total observed period

0	[0] Consistent educational information	9346
1	[1] Inconsistent educational decline	2015
2	[2] Inconsistent educational increase	2553
3	[3] Inconsistent educational decline and increase	56
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	1355
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	23073
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

Because detailed information on PGFIELD, PGDEGREE and PGTRAIN is not assessed in the Questionnaire of the SOEP Innovation Sample, PGBILZTEV is not generated for Sample E (since 2012), I (since 2011) and the supplementary samples (since 2012). For this purpose, PGBILZTEV is coded to “-5” (not contained in questionnaire).

pgsndjob – Current gross secondary income in euros

? What was your gross income from this job last month? // Euros (*from: soep-is/soep-is-2015/Q319:PJOB15[1914]*)

0	211
1	1
10	2
15	4
16	1
20	15
21	1
22	1
25	5
26	7
27	1
29	1
30	19
31	1
35	1
... (253 rows omitted)	1796
2800	2
3000	8
3800	1

4100		1
4395		1
5000		1
6000		2
10000		1
15000		1
-1	[-1] No Answer	74
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	36239
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	0
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

The variable PGSNDJOB represents the imputed current gross labor income from second job generated for all SOEP respondents in each respective wave. Because missing information on PGSNDJOB is not imputed in the SOEP Innovation Sample, PGSNDJOB not generated for Sample E (since 2012), I (since 2011) and the supplementary samples (since 2012). For this purpose, PGSNDJOB is coded to “-5” (not contained in questionnaire).

pgimpsnd – Imputation flag for SNDJOBxx

0	[0] not imputed	758
1	[1] Imputed	114
-1	[-1] No Answer	0
-2	[-2] Does Not Apply	16154
-3	[-3] Answer Improbable	0
-4	[-4] Inadmissible Multiple Answer	0
-5	[-5] Not Contained In Questionnaire	21372
-6	[-6] Questionnaire Version With Modified Filtering	0

The variable PGIMPSND indicates imputations of item nonresponse in the variable PGSNDJOB (current gross labor income from second job). Because missing information on PGSNDJOB is not imputed in the SOEP Innovation Sample, PGIMPSND not generated for Sample E (since 2012), I (since 2011) and the supplementary samples (since 2012). For this purpose, PGIMPSND is coded to “-5” (not contained in questionnaire).